

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B A Ist 2016-17

Subject - Hindi

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	8	10	2	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	1	10	9	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	18	1	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	7	13	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	6	14	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	5	15	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	8	12	0	0
8	Overall rating.	6	10	4	0
Total		59	85	16	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	40	50	10	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	5	50	45	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	90	5	5	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	35	65	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	30	70	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	25	75	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	40	60	0	0
8	Overall rating.	30	50	20	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Handwritten signatures)

(Handwritten signature)
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujganj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - English

Semester/Year - B A Ist 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	10	10	2	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	10	9	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	20	1	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	9	13	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	8	14	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	7	15	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	10	12	0	0
8	Overall rating.	8	10	4	0
Total		75	85	16	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	45.45	45.45	9.09	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	13.64	45.45	40.91	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	90.91	4.55	4.55	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	40.91	59.09	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	36.36	63.64	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	31.82	68.18	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	45.45	54.55	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	36.36	45.45	18.18	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. -Balrampur-Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -


Semester/Year - B A Ist 2016-17

Subjec - Sociology

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	10	10	4	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	10	11	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	20	1	3	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	9	13	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	8	14	2	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	7	15	2	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	10	12	2	0
8	Overall rating.	8	10	6	0
Total		75	85	32	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	41.67	41.67	16.67	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	12.50	41.67	45.83	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	83.33	4.17	12.50	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	37.50	54.17	8.33	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	33.33	58.33	8.33	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	29.17	62.50	8.33	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	41.67	50.00	8.33	0.00
8	Overall rating.	33.33	41.67	25.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

Handwritten signatures of teachers.

Handwritten signature.

Handwritten signature of Principal
Principal
Govt. College Balarampur
Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujganj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Semester/Year - B A Ist 2016-17

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - Political Science

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	10	10	2	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	10	9	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	20	1	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	9	13	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	8	12	2	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	7	13	2	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	10	10	2	0
8	Overall rating.	8	8	6	0
Total		75	77	24	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	45.45	45.45	9.09	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	13.64	45.45	40.91	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	90.91	4.55	4.55	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	40.91	59.09	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	36.36	54.55	9.09	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	31.82	59.09	9.09	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	45.45	45.45	9.09	0.00
8	Overall rating.	36.36	36.36	27.27	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

Handwritten signatures of teachers.

Handwritten signature.

Handwritten signature of the Principal.

Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Programme-B A 1
years from to -2016-17


Name - Mr. N. K. Dewangan

Department

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	5	4	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	5	3	2	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	5	4	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	5	4	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	5	2	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	4	4	1	1
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	4	4	1	1
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	4	3	2	1
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	3	6	0	1
10	Overall rating	4	4	2	0
	Total	42	41	13	4

PERCENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	50	40	10	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	50	30	20	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	50	40	10	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	50	40	10	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	30	50	20	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	40	40	10	10
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	40	40	10	10
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	40	30	20	10
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	30	60	0	10
10	Overall rating	40	40	20	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Programme-B A I

years from to -2016-17


Name - Dr. U. K. Pandey

Department

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3	6	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	2	6	2	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	5	4	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	7	2	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	4	4	2	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	6	2	1	1
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	1	7	1	1
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	3	4	2	1
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	3	6	0	1
10	Overall rating	4	4	2	0
	Total	38	45	13	4

PERCENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	30	60	10	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	20	60	20	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	50	40	10	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	70	20	10	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	40	40	20	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	60	20	10	10
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	10	70	10	10
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	30	40	20	10
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	30	60	0	10
10	Overall rating	40	40	20	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

[Signature]
[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
 Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Programme-B A I

years from to -2016-17


Name - Shailesh Kannoujiya

Department

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3	5	1	1
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	2	4	2	2
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	5	3	1	1
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	7	2	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	4	4	2	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	6	2	1	1
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	1	3	5	1
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	3	1	5	1
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	3	2	4	1
10	Overall rating	4	5	1	0
Total		38	31	23	8

PERCENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	30	50	10	10
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	20	40	20	20
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	50	30	10	10
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	70	20	10	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	40	40	20	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	60	20	10	10
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	10	30	50	10
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	30	10	50	10
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	30	20	40	10
10	Overall rating	40	50	10	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

Al
Tanya

Shresh

Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. Balrampur (U.P.)

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Programme-B A I

years from to -2016-17


Name - Dr. Archana Gupta

Department

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2	5	2	1
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	1	4	3	2
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	4	3	2	1
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	5	2	3	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	8	0	2	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	1	2	5	2
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	6	3	0	1
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	3	1	5	1
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	4	2	3	1
10	Overall rating	5	5	0	0
Total		39	27	25	9

PERCENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	20	50	20	10
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	10	40	30	20
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	40	30	20	10
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	50	20	30	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	80	0	20	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	10	20	50	20
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	60	30	0	10
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	30	10	50	10
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	40	20	30	10
10	Overall rating	50	50	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
 Principal
 Govt. College Balarampur
 Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-3

Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A Ist
Subject Name - Sociology

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	20	0	2	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	7	15	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	8	10	3	1
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	20	2	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	5	13	4	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	18	4	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	16	5	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	16	3	3	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	16	4	1	1
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	19	3	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	20	1	1	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	15	7	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	18	4	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	21	1	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	20	2	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	19	3	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	22	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		280	77	15	2

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	90.91	0.00	9.09	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	31.82	68.18	0.00	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	36.36	45.45	13.64	4.55
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	22.73	59.09	18.18	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	72.73	22.73	4.55	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	72.73	13.64	13.64	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	72.73	18.18	4.55	4.55
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	86.36	13.64	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	90.91	4.55	4.55	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	68.18	31.82	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	95.45	4.55	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	86.36	13.64	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that most of the students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter, wherever unsatisfactory expression arised the concerned teacher has been instructed to take the appropriate action.

Al
Tanya
Shresh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-3

Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A Ist
Subject Name - Hindi

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	10	6	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	7	9	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	8	8	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	8	7	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	9	6	0	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	11	5	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	14	1	0	1
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	10	5	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	10	6	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	14	2	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	12	4	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	11	5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	11	5	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	11	4	0	1
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	10	5	1	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	14	2	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	16	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		186	80	3	3

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	62.5	37.5	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	43.75	56.25	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	50	50	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	50	43.75	6.25	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	56.25	37.5	0	6.25
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	68.75	31.25	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	87.5	6.25	0	6.25
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	62.5	31.25	6.25	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	62.5	37.5	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	87.5	12.5	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	75	25	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	68.75	31.25	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	68.75	31.25	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	68.75	25	0	6.25
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	62.5	31.25	6.25	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	87.5	12.5	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that most of the students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter, wherever unsatisfactory expression arised the concerned teacher has been instructed to take the appropriate action.

HL
Tanya

Singh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-3

Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B A Ist

programme :


Subject Name - English

Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	9	7	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	6	10	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	7	9	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	7	8	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	8	7	0	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	10	6	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	13	2	0	1
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	9	6	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	9	7	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	13	3	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	11	5	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	10	6	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	10	6	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	10	5	0	1
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	9	6	1	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	13	3	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	15	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		169	97	3	3

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	56.25	43.75	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	37.5	62.5	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	43.75	56.25	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	43.75	50	6.25	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	50	43.75	0	6.25
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	62.5	37.5	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	81.25	12.5	0	6.25
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	56.25	37.5	6.25	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	56.25	43.75	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	81.25	18.75	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	68.75	31.25	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	62.5	37.5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	62.5	37.5	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	62.5	31.25	0	6.25
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	56.25	37.5	6.25	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	81.25	18.75	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	93.75	6.25	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist-Balrampur-Ramanujan]

Questionnaire No.-3

Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B A Ist

programme :


Subject Name - Political Science

Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	7	9	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	4	12	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	5	11	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	5	10	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	6	9	0	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	8	8	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	11	4	0	1
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	7	8	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	7	9	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	11	5	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	9	7	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	8	8	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	8	8	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	8	7	0	1
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	7	8	1	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	11	5	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	13	3	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		135	131	3	3

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	43.75	56.25	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	25	75	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	31.25	68.75	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	31.25	62.5	6.25	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	37.5	56.25	0	6.25
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	50	50	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	68.75	25	0	6.25
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	43.75	50	6.25	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	43.75	56.25	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	68.75	31.25	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	56.25	43.75	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	50	50	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	50	50	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	50	43.75	0	6.25
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	43.75	50	6.25	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	68.75	31.25	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	81.25	18.75	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

[Handwritten signatures in blue ink]

[Handwritten signature in green ink]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B A Ist 2017-18

Subjec - Hindi

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	12	10	2	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	12	9	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	20	3	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	9	15	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	8	16	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	9	15	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students	10	14	0	0
8	Overall rating.	8	12	4	0
Total		79	97	16	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	50.00	41.67	8.33	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	83.33	12.50	4.17	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	37.50	62.50	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	37.50	62.50	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	41.67	58.33	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -


Semester/Year - B A Ist 2017-18

Subjec - English

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	10	10	2	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	10	9	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	20	1	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	9	13	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	8	14	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	7	15	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	10	12	0	0
8	Overall rating.	8	10	4	0
Total		75	85	16	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	45.45	45.45	9.09	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	13.64	45.45	40.91	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	90.91	4.55	4.55	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	40.91	59.09	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	36.36	63.64	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	31.82	68.18	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	45.45	54.55	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	36.36	45.45	18.18	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Handwritten signatures in blue ink)

(Handwritten signature in green ink)

Principal
Govt. College Balarampur
Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujgarj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subjec - Political Science

Semester/Year - B A Ist 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	10	12	2	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	12	9	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	20	3	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	9	15	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	8	16	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	7	17	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	10	14	0	0
8	Overall rating.	8	12	4	0
Total		75	101	16	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	41.67	50.00	8.33	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	83.33	12.50	4.17	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	37.50	62.50	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	29.17	70.83	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	41.67	58.33	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Handwritten signatures)

(Handwritten signature)
Principal
Govt. College Balarampur
Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujganj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Semester/Year - B A Ist 2017-18

Faculty/Department -
 Subjec - Sociology

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	8	12	2	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	1	12	9	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	18	3	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	7	15	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	6	16	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	5	17	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	8	14	0	0
8	Overall rating.	6	12	4	0
Total		59	101	16	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	36.36	54.55	9.09	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4.55	54.55	40.91	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	81.82	13.64	4.55	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	31.82	68.18	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	27.27	72.73	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	22.73	77.27	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	36.36	63.64	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	27.27	54.55	18.18	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Handwritten signatures)

Principal
 Govt. College Balarampur
 Dist. - Balarampur-Ramanujgan

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name- shree N.K.Dewangan

Programme -B.A. I


Department _____

years:from to -2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3	6	0	1
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	5	4	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	1	8	2	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	2	7	3	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	1	5	4	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	6	1	3	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	1	5	4	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	4	5	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	4	5	1	0
10	Overall rating	4	3	3	0
Total		31	49	22	1

percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	30	60	0	10
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	50	40	10	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	10	80	20	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	20	70	30	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	10	50	40	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	60	10	30	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	10	50	40	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	40	50	10	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback.	40	50	10	0
10	Overall rating	40	30	30	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. Balrampur (Ramanujganj)

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name-Dr.U.K.Panday
 Department

Programme-B.A I
 years:from to-2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	5	3	2	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	6	3	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	6	4	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	5	4	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	5	2	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	8	2	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	7	3	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	7	3	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	6	3	1	0
10	Overall rating	6	4	0	0
Total		59	34	7	0

PRESENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	30.00	50.00	20.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	40.00	40.00	10.00	10.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	40.00	60.00	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	40.00	60.00	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	50.00	40.00	10.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

[Signature]
[Signature]

[Signature]


[Signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balarampur
 Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name- Shailesh Kannoujiya
 Department

Programme-B.A. I
 years:from to-2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	5	5	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	5	5	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	5	5	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	3	5	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	4	4	1	1
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	4	6	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	4	6	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	5	4	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	6	4	0	0
10	Overall rating	5	5	0	0
Total		46	49	4	1
PERCENTAGE					
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	30.00	50.00	20.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	40.00	40.00	10.00	10.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	40.00	60.00	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	40.00	60.00	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	50.00	40.00	10.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

Handwritten signature
Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature
Principal
 Govt. College Balarampur
 Dist. Balarampur-Ramanujam

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Programme-BA I

years from to -2017-18


Name - Dr. ARCHANA GUPTA

Department

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	5	4	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	5	3	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	5	4	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	5	4	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	5	3	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	4	4	1	1
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	4	4	1	1
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	4	3	2	1
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	3	6	0	1
10	Overall rating	4	4	2	0
Total		42	41	13	4

PERCENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	50.00	40.00	10.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	50.00	30.00	10.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	50.00	40.00	10.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	50.00	40.00	10.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	30.00	50.00	30.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	40.00	40.00	10.00	10.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	40.00	40.00	10.00	10.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	40.00	30.00	20.00	10.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	30.00	60.00	0.00	10.00
10	Overall rating	40	40	20	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balarampur
 Dist. Balarampur-Ramanujan]

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A 1st
 Subject Name - English

programme :
 Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	8	1	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	7	1	1	1
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	1	2	2	5
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	1	2	1	6
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	3	1	1	5
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	1	3	1	5
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4	6	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4	3	3	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	7	1	0	2
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	6	2	0	1
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	6	4	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	7	3	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	9	1	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	3	1	1
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	7	1	2	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	7	3	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	9	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		92	38	13	26

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	80	10	10	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	70	10	10	10
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	10	20	20	50
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	10	20	10	60
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	30	10	10	50
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	10	30	10	50
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	40	60	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	40	30	30	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	70	10	0	20
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	60	20	0	10
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	60	40	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	70	30	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	90	10	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	50	30	10	10
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	70	10	20	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	70	30	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	90	10	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A 1st
Subject Name - Sociology

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	9	0	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	8	0	1	1
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	2	1	2	5
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2	1	1	6
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	4	0	1	5
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	2	2	1	5
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	5	5	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5	2	3	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	8	0	0	2
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	8	1	0	1
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	7	3	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	8	2	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	10	0	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	6	2	1	1
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	8	0	2	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	8	2	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	10	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		110	21	13	26

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	90	0	10	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	80	0	10	10
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	20	10	20	50
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	20	10	10	60
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	40	0	10	50
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	20	20	10	50
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	50	50	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	50	20	30	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	80	0	0	20
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	80	10	0	10
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	70	30	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	80	20	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	100	0	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	60	20	10	10
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	80	0	20	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	80	20	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that most of the students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter, wherever unsatisfactory expression arised the concerned teacher has been instructed to take the appropriate action.

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-3

Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B A 1st

Subject Name - Hindi


programme :

Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	19	3	4	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	6	20	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	8	13	5	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	22	4	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	6	19	0	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	18	8	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	21	5	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	18	5	3	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	18	7	0	1
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	23	3	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	23	3	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	18	8	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	23	3	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	25	1	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	24	2	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	23	3	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	24	2	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	25	1	0	0
Total		344	110	12	2

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	73.08	11.54	15.38	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	23.08	76.92	0.00	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	30.77	50.00	19.23	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	84.62	15.38	0.00	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	23.08	73.08	0.00	3.85
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	69.23	30.77	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	80.77	19.23	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	69.23	19.23	11.54	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	69.23	26.92	0.00	3.85
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	88.46	11.54	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	88.46	11.54	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	69.23	30.77	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	88.46	11.54	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	96.15	3.85	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	92.31	7.69	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	88.46	11.54	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	92.31	7.69	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	96.15	3.85	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that most of the students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter, wherever unsatisfactory expression arised the concerned teacher has been instructed to take the appropriate action.

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching



Course Name - B A 1st
Subject Name - Political Science

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	30	0	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	3	23	1	3
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	5	21	4	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4	24	2	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	9	19	2	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	20	8	2	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	22	8	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	14	9	6	1
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	17	5	0	8
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	21	9	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	25	5	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	14	15	0	1
13	Internal assessment was.	25	3	1	1
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	24	4	1	1
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	23	4	2	1
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	14	16	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	30	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		300	173	21	16

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	10.00	76.67	3.33	10.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	16.67	70.00	13.33	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	13.33	80.00	6.67	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	30.00	63.33	6.67	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	66.67	26.67	6.67	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	46.67	30.00	20.00	3.33
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	56.67	16.67	0.00	26.67
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	70.00	30.00	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	46.67	50.00	0.00	3.33
13	Internal assessment was.	83.33	10.00	3.33	3.33
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	80.00	13.33	3.33	3.33
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	76.67	13.33	6.67	3.33
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	46.67	53.33	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that most of the students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter, wherever unsatisfactory expression arised the concerned teacher has been instructed to take the appropriate action.

Wh

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subjec - Hindi

Semester/Year - B A 1st 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	24	5	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	24	6	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	19	9	2	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	26	4	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	23	7	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	22	8	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	26	4	0	0
8	Overall rating.	24	6	0	0
Total		188	49	3	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	80.00	16.67	3.33	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	63.33	30.00	6.67	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	76.67	23.33	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Handwritten signatures)

Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -
 Subjec - Political Science

Semester/Year - B A Ist 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	28	12	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	27	12	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	24	15	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	29	9	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	23	15	2	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	31	9	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	29	11	0	0
8	Overall rating.	26	14	0	0
Total		217	97	6	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	70	30	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	67.5	30	2.5	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	60	37.5	2.5	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	72.5	22.5	5	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	57.5	37.5	5	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	77.5	22.5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	72.5	27.5	0	0
8	Overall rating.	65	35	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

Handwritten signatures: Al, Dinesh, Tanya

Handwritten signature of the Principal

Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. - Balrampur (Ramanujan)

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B A Ist 2018-19

Subjec - Sociology

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	26	4	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	28	2	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	26	3	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	27	3	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	23	7	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	28	2	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	26	4	0	0
8	Overall rating.	21	8	1	0
Total		205	33	2	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	93.33	6.67	0.00	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	86.67	10.00	3.33	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	76.67	23.33	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	93.33	6.67	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	70.00	26.67	3.33	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

Handwritten signatures:
 AL
 Dinesh
 [Signature]

Handwritten signature
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Semester/Year - B A Ist 2018-19

Faculty/Department -

Subject - History

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	36	4	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course	25	15	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations	33	6	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	32	8	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	29	11	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	26	14	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students	28	12	0	0
8	Overall rating	39	1	0	0
Total		248	71	1	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	90	10	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	62.5	37.5	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	82.5	15	2.5	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	80	20	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	72.5	27.5	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	65	35	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	70	30	0	0
8	Overall rating	97.5	2.5	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balarampur
 Dist. Balarampur-Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-1


Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subjec - English

Semester/Year - B A Ist 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	16	10	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	17	7	3	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	17	9	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	20	5	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	16	9	2	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	17	9	1	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	21	5	1	0
8	Overall rating.	18	8	1	0
Total		142	62	12	0


Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	59.26	37.04	3.70	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	62.96	25.93	11.11	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	62.96	33.33	3.70	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	74.07	18.52	7.41	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	59.26	33.33	7.41	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	62.96	33.33	3.70	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	77.78	18.52	3.70	0.00
8	Overall rating.	66.67	29.63	3.70	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Handwritten signatures)


Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name- Mr. N.K.Dewangan
Department - English

Programme -B.A. I
year :from to -2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	20	9	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	21	7	2	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	20	7	2	1
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	19	8	3	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	19	7	9	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	22	7	1	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	17	10	2	1
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	22	6	2	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	21	8	0	1
10	Overall rating	20	10	0	0
Total		201	79	22	3

percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	66.67	30.00	3.33	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	70.00	23.33	6.67	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	66.67	23.33	6.67	3.33
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	63.33	26.67	10.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	63.33	23.33	30.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	73.33	23.33	3.33	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	56.67	33.33	6.67	3.33
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	73.33	20.00	6.67	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	70.00	26.67	0.00	3.33
10	Overall rating	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature
Principal
 Govt. College Balarampur
 Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name Dr.U.K.Panday
 Department Hindi

Programme-B.A I
 years:from to-2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	30	0	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	30	0	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	29	1	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	24	6	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	27	3	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	26	4	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	27	3	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	28	2	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	25	5	0	0
10	Overall rating	26	4	0	0
Total		272	28	0	0

PRESENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	96.67	3.33	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	93.33	6.67	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

(Signature)
Tanya

(Signature)

(Signature)
Principal
Govt. College Balarampur
Dist -Balarampur-Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name Mr. Shailesh Kannoujiya

Programme-B.A..I


Department

years:from to-2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	21	8	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	25	5	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	21	8	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	17	12	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	22	8	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	21	8	1	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	21	8	1	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	23	6	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	22	6	2	0
10	Overall rating	23	6	1	0
	Total	216	75	10	0

PRESENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	70.00	26.67	3.33	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	70.00	26.67	3.33	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	56.67	40.00	3.33	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	73.33	26.67	3.33	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	70.00	26.67	3.33	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	70.00	26.67	3.33	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	76.67	20.00	3.33	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	73.33	20.00	6.67	0.00
10	Overall rating	76.67	20.00	3.33	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

[Signature]
Toda

[Signature]

[Signature]

Principal
Govt College Balrampur
Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujan

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name Mr. VINIT KUMAR GUPT


programme-B.A. I
years from to-2018-19

Department- _____

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	23	7	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	22	7	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	24	5	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	24	6	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	24	6	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	23	7	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	21	9	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	26	3	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	24	6	0	0
10	Overall rating	19	15	0	0
Total		230	71	4	0

PRESANTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	76.67	23.33	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	73.33	23.33	3.33	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	80.00	16.67	3.33	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	80.00	20.00	3.33	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	76.67	23.33	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	70.00	30.00	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	86.67	10.00	3.33	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	63.33	50.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

(Signature)
Tadga

(Signature)

(Signature)
Principal
Govt. College Balarampur
Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Programme-B A I

years:from to -2018-19


Dr. ARCHANA GUPTA

Department

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	26	3	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	26	3	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	22	7	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	21	7	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	22	8	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	25	5	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	21	9	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	27	3	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	25	4	1	0
10	Overall rating	24	6	0	0
Total		239	55	6	0

PERCENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	86.67	10.00	3.33	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	86.67	10.00	3.33	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	73.33	23.33	3.33	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	70.00	23.33	6.67	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	70.00	30.00	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	83.33	13.33	3.33	0.00
10	Overall rating	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. Balrampur (C.G.)

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B A Ist


Subject Name - Hindi

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	29	0	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	17	12	1	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	17	10	3	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	24	6	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	18	11	0	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	19	9	1	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	25	4	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	27	1	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	24	4	0	2
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	26	4	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	28	2	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	30	0	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	28	1	0	1
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	28	2	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	27	2	1	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	28	2	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	30	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		425	70	10	5

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	96.67	0.00	3.33	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	56.67	40.00	3.33	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	56.67	33.33	10.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	80.00	20.00	0.00	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	60.00	36.67	0.00	3.33
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	63.33	30.00	3.33	3.33
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	83.33	13.33	3.33	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	90.00	3.33	6.67	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	80.00	13.33	0.00	6.67
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	86.67	13.33	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	93.33	6.67	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	93.33	3.33	0.00	3.33
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	93.33	6.67	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	90.00	6.67	3.33	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	93.33	6.67	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameters in criteria 5, 9, and 13 some students are found unsatisfied that is minor hence the teacher taken necessary action.

Alh
Tadga

Dinesh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt College Balarampur
Dist. Balarampur-Ramanuiganj

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A Ist
Subject Name - Sociology

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	23	3	2	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	10	18	0	2
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	8	22	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	13	12	5	2
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	10	20	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	15	9	1	5
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	25	5	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	22	5	3	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	22	2	1	5
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	23	4	2	1
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	27	3	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	27	3	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	27	2	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	28	1	1	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	27	3	1	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	28	2	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	29	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		364	115	17	15

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	76.67	16.67	6.67	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	33.33	60.00	0.00	6.67
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	26.67	73.33	0.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	43.33	33.33	16.67	6.67
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	50.00	30.00	3.33	16.67
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	73.33	16.67	10.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	73.33	6.67	3.33	16.67
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	76.67	13.33	6.67	3.33
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	90.00	10.00	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	90.00	6.67	3.33	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	93.33	3.33	3.33	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	90.00	6.67	3.33	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	93.33	6.67	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	96.67	3.33	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings and How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class. 16.67% of the students are unsatisfied with the respective criteria hence concerned teacher has been instructed to make the classroom active and participate all students in the live class and also provide the reading materials to the students. some materials are already available in the library.

Al
Jaya

Suresh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-3

Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A Ist
Subject Name - History

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	32	0	8	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	1	37	2	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	4	32	3	1
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	38	1	0	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	18	20	1	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	40	0	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	40	0	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	39	1	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	40	0	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	33	7	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	39	1	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	39	1	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	39	1	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	40	0	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	39	1	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	40	0	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	40	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		561	102	14	3

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	80	0	20	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	2.5	92.5	5	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	10	80	7.5	2.5
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	95	2.5	0	2.5
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	45	50	2.5	2.5
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	100	0	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	100	0	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	97.5	2.5	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	100	0	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	82.5	17.5	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	97.5	2.5	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	97.5	2.5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	97.5	2.5	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	100	0	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	97.5	2.5	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	100	0	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence in criteria 3, 4, appropriate action have been taken.

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj


Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B A Ist
 Subject Name - Political Science

programme :
 Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	33	7	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	14	23	2	1
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	22	17	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	22	13	4	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	22	14	2	2
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	25	14	0	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	27	13	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	28	10	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	23	11	3	3
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	26	11	2	1
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	29	10	0	1
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	28	10	2	0
13	Internal assessment was.	35	3	2	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	38	2	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	33	5	2	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	37	2	0	1
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	40	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		482	165	22	11

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	82.5	17.5	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	35	57.5	5	2.5
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	55	42.5	2.5	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	55	32.5	10	2.5
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	55	35	5	5
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	62.5	35	0	2.5
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	67.5	32.5	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	70	25	5	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	57.5	27.5	7.5	7.5
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	65	27.5	5	2.5
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	72.5	25	0	2.5
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	70	25	5	0
13	Internal assessment was.	87.5	7.5	5	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	95	5	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	82.5	12.5	5	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	92.5	5	0	2.5
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

AL
Tanya

Dinesh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt College Balarampur
Dist. Balarampur (Ramanujganj)

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B A Ist

Subject Name - English


programme :

Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	33	7	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	14	23	2	1
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	22	17	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	22	13	4	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	22	14	2	2
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	25	14	0	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	27	13	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	28	10	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	23	11	3	3
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	26	11	2	1
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	29	10	0	1
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	28	10	2	0
13	Internal assessment was.	35	3	2	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	38	2	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	33	5	2	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	37	2	0	1
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	40	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		482	165	22	11

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	82.5	17.5	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	35	57.5	5	2.5
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	55	42.5	2.5	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	55	32.5	10	2.5
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	55	35	5	5
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	62.5	35	0	2.5
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	67.5	32.5	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	70	25	5	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	57.5	27.5	7.5	7.5
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	65	27.5	5	2.5
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	72.5	25	0	2.5
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	70	25	5	0
13	Internal assessment was.	87.5	7.5	5	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	95	5	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	82.5	12.5	5	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	92.5	5	0	2.5
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except the criteria How helpful was the teacher in advising?. The concerned teacher has been instructed to advise the students on each and every issue to which the students are interested.

Adh
Tanya
Divya

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujganj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subjec - ENGLISH

Semester/Year - B A II 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	15	11	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	14	12	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	15	10	2	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	17	10	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	20	7	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	13	14	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	22	3	2	0
8	Overall rating.	21	6	0	0
Total		137	73	6	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	55.56	40.74	3.70	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	51.85	44.44	3.70	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	55.56	37.04	7.41	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	62.96	37.04	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	74.07	25.93	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	48.15	51.85	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	81.48	11.11	7.41	0.00
8	Overall rating.	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00




ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten signature)


Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj



Questionnaire No.-I

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B A Ind 2017-18

Subjec - Sociology

S.No	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	16	8	2	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	16	9	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	13	11	2	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	19	7	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	11	11	4	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	13	9	2	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	18	8	0	0
8	Overall rating	11	13	2	0
Total		117	76	13	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	61.54	30.77	7.69	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	61.54	34.62	3.85	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	50.00	42.31	7.69	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	73.08	26.92	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	42.31	42.31	15.38	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	50.00	34.62	7.69	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	69.23	30.77	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	42.31	50.00	7.69	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Signature)

(Signature)

Principal
Govt. College Balarampur
Dist. -Balarampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B A Ind 2017-18

Subject - Political Science

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	12	11	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	8	15	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	10	13	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	12	9	3	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	13	8	3	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	15	8	1	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	11	12	1	0
8	Overall rating.	12	10	2	0
Total		93	86	13	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	50.00	45.83	4.17	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	33.33	62.50	4.17	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	41.67	54.17	4.17	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50.00	37.50	12.50	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	54.17	33.33	12.50	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	62.50	33.33	4.17	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	45.83	50.00	4.17	0.00
8	Overall rating.	50.00	41.67	8.33	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujgarh


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subjec - Hindi

Semester/Year - B A IIInd 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	12	10	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	10	12	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	8	14	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	10	9	3	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	11	8	3	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	13	8	1	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	13	8	1	0
8	Overall rating.	9	10	3	0
Total		86	79	11	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	54.55	45.45	0.00	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	45.45	54.55	0.00	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	36.36	63.64	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	45.45	40.91	13.64	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	50.00	36.36	13.64	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	59.09	36.36	4.55	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	59.09	36.36	4.55	0.00
8	Overall rating.	40.91	45.45	13.64	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

AL *Shresh*

Tanya

Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name- Mr. N.K.Dewangan


Programme -BA II
years from to -2017-18

Department _____

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	21	7	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	23	5	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	18	10	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	17	11	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	23	5	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	26	2	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	18	10	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	22	6	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	15	13	0	0
10	Overall rating	26	2	0	0
Total		209	71	0	0

percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	82.14	17.86	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	64.29	35.71	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	60.71	39.29	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	82.14	17.86	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	92.86	7.14	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	64.29	35.71	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	53.57	46.43	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	92.86	7.14	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

[Signature]
[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Bairampur
 Dist.-Bairampur-Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

NAME- Dr.U.K.Panday


Programme-B.A II
years from to-2017-18

Department _____

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	28	0	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	21	7	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	21	7	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	23	5	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	22	6	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	21	7	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	21	7	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	25	3	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	24	4	0	0
10	Overall rating	19	9	0	0
Total		225	55	0	0

PRESENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	82.14	17.86	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	78.57	21.43	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	89.29	10.71	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	85.71	14.29	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	67.86	32.14	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

AC
Tajja

Jinesh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. Balrampur (Ramanujganj)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name- Mr. SHAILESH KANOUIYA

Programme-B.A. II
years from to-2017-18


Department _____

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	9	9	8	2
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	4	11	10	2
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	4	11	10	3
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	7	13	7	1
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	1	12	10	5
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	6	19	2	1
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	7	14	8	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	9	15	4	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	1	6	13	8
10	Overall rating	1	11	10	5
Total		49	121	82	27

PERCENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	32.14	32.14	28.57	7.14
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	14.29	39.29	35.71	7.14
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	14.29	39.29	35.71	10.71
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	25.00	46.43	25.00	3.57
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3.57	42.86	35.71	17.86
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	21.43	67.86	7.14	3.57
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	25.00	50.00	28.57	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	32.14	53.57	14.29	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	3.57	21.43	46.43	28.57
10	Overall rating	85.71	4.76	4.76	0.00

Chart Title



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

AC
Tushar

Jinesh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balarampur
Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

NAME - Dr. ARCHANA GUPTA


programme-B.A II
years from to-2017-18

Department- _____

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	0	22	6	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	3	21	4	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	0	27	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	3	23	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	0	21	7	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	2	13	13	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	1	20	8	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	1	16	4	4
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	6	14	5	3
10	Overall rating	14	10	4	0
Total		30	187	54	7

PRESANTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	0.00	78.57	21.43	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	10.71	75.00	14.29	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	0.00	96.43	3.57	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	10.71	82.14	7.14	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	0.00	75.00	25.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	7.14	46.43	46.43	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	3.57	71.43	28.57	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	3.57	57.14	14.29	14.29
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	21.43	50.00	17.86	10.71
10	Overall rating	50.00	35.71	14.29	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

HC
PSA

Dinesh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-3

Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A Hnd
Subject Name - Sociology

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	38	0	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	10	27	1	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	16	21	0	1
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	30	6	2	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	17	19	1	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	30	7	0	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	37	1	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	33	5	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	30	6	1	1
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	31	3	4	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	34	4	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	32	6	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	35	3	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	37	1	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	37	1	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	33	5	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	37	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		517	116	9	4

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	26.32	71.05	2.63	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	42.11	55.26	0.00	2.63
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	78.95	15.79	5.26	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	44.74	50.00	2.63	2.63
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	78.95	18.42	0.00	2.63
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	97.37	2.63	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	86.84	13.16	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	78.95	15.79	2.63	2.63
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	81.58	7.89	10.53	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	89.47	10.53	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	84.21	15.79	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	92.11	7.89	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	97.37	2.63	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	97.37	2.63	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	86.84	13.16	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	97.37	2.63	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Handwritten signatures and initials.

Principal
 Govt College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-3

Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B A Hnd


Subject Name - Political Science

programme
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was	35	3	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was	8	27	3	0
3	Was the course easy for difficult to understand	16	21	0	1
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	29	6	3	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	18	19	0	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	25	7	5	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	35	1	2	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	35	3	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	35	1	1	1
10	If yes, which of the following methods were used?	30	4	4	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	33	5	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as	33	5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was	31	7	0	0
14	What was the impact of internal assessment on your result?	33	5	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	35	3	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	34	4	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	36	2	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		501	123	18	4

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was	92.11	7.89	0.00	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was	21.05	71.05	7.89	0.00
3	Was the course easy for difficult to understand	42.11	55.26	0.00	2.63
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	76.32	15.79	7.89	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	47.37	50.00	0.00	2.63
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	65.79	18.42	13.16	2.63
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	92.11	2.63	5.26	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	92.11	7.89	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	92.11	2.63	2.63	2.63
10	If yes, which of the following methods were used?	78.95	11.53	10.53	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	86.84	13.16	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as	86.84	13.16	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was	81.58	18.42	0.00	0.00
14	What was the impact of internal assessment on your result?	86.84	13.16	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	92.11	7.89	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	89.47	10.53	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	94.74	5.26	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

(Handwritten signatures)

(Handwritten signature)
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A Hnd
Subject Name - Hindi

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	30	6	2	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	10	27	1	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	11	21	5	1
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	20	9	9	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	11	19	7	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	17	14	6	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	38	0	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	36	2	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	33	3	1	1
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	31	3	4	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	35	3	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	33	5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	31	7	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	32	6	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	35	3	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	36	2	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	33	5	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		472	135	35	4

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	78.95	15.79	5.26	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	26.32	71.05	2.63	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	28.95	55.26	13.16	2.63
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	52.63	23.68	23.68	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	28.95	50.00	18.42	2.63
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	44.74	36.84	15.79	2.63
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	94.74	5.26	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	86.84	7.89	2.63	2.63
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	81.58	7.89	10.53	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	92.11	7.89	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	86.84	13.16	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	81.58	18.42	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	84.21	15.79	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	92.11	7.89	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	94.74	5.26	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	86.84	13.16	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

(Signature)
(Signature)
(Signature)

(Signature)
Principal
 Govt College Balarampur
 Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A IInd
Subject Name - English

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	28	8	2	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	8	29	1	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	9	23	5	1
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	18	11	9	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	9	21	7	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	15	16	6	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	36	2	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	34	4	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	31	5	1	1
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	29	5	4	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	33	5	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	31	7	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	29	9	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	30	8	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	33	5	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	34	4	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	31	7	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		438	169	35	4

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	73.68	21.05	5.26	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	21.05	76.32	2.63	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	23.68	60.53	13.16	2.63
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	47.37	28.95	23.68	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	23.68	55.26	18.42	2.63
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	39.47	42.11	15.79	2.63
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	94.74	5.26	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	89.47	10.53	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	81.58	13.16	2.63	2.63
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	76.32	13.16	10.53	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	86.84	13.16	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	81.58	18.42	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	76.32	23.68	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	78.95	21.05	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	86.84	13.16	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	89.47	10.53	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	81.58	18.42	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that most of the students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter, wherever unsatisfactory expression arised the concerned teacher has been instructed to take the appropriate action.

(Signature)
(Signature)

(Signature)
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur Ramanujanj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subjec - Hindi

Semester/Year - B A IInd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	8	8	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	9	6	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	5	11	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	8	7	1	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	7	9	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	8	8	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	9	6	1	0
8	Overall rating.	4	11	1	0
Total		58	66	4	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	50	50	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	56.25	37.5	6.25	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	31.25	68.75	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50	43.75	6.25	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	43.75	56.25	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	50	50	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	56.25	37.5	6.25	0
8	Overall rating.	25	68.75	6.25	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subjec - ENGLISH

Semester/Year - B A II 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	18	11	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	17	12	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	15	13	2	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	20	10	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	23	7	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	16	14	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	22	6	2	0
8	Overall rating.	21	9	0	0
Total		152	82	6	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	60.00	36.67	3.33	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	56.67	40.00	3.33	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	50.00	43.33	6.67	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	76.67	23.33	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	53.33	46.67	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	73.33	20.00	6.67	0.00
8	Overall rating.	70.00	30.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Handwritten signatures)

(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten signature)
Principal
 Govt. College Balarampur
 Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujgarj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subjec - Sociology

Semester/Year - B A IInd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	16	8	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course	16	9	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	12	11	2	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	18	7	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	11	10	4	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	14	9	2	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	18	7	0	0
8	Overall rating	12	13	0	0
Total		117	74	9	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	64	32	4	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	64	36	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	48	44	8	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	72	28	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	44	40	16	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	56	36	8	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	72	28	0	0
8	Overall rating.	48	52	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujgarh


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subjec - Political Science

Semester/Year - B A IInd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	14	9	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course	12	11	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	10	13	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	12	9	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	13	8	2	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	15	8	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	11	12	0	0
8	Overall rating.	11	10	2	0
Total		98	80	6	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	60.87	39.13	0.00	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	52.17	47.83	0.00	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	43.48	56.52	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	52.17	39.13	8.70	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	56.52	34.78	8.70	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	65.22	34.78	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	47.83	52.17	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	47.83	43.48	8.70	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

HL
Suresh

Pragna

infi

Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B A IInd 2018-19

Subjec - Hindi

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	14	10	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	12	12	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	10	14	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	12	9	3	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	13	8	3	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	15	8	1	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	11	12	1	0
8	Overall rating.	11	10	3	0
Total		98	83	11	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	58.33	41.67	0.00	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	41.67	58.33	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50.00	37.50	12.50	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	54.17	33.33	12.50	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	62.50	33.33	4.17	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	45.83	50.00	4.17	0.00
8	Overall rating.	45.83	41.67	12.50	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter except criteria 4 hence teacher has been instructed to take appropriate action.

Al *Shree*

Tanya

[Signature]

Principal
Govt. College Bairampur
Dist. -Bairampur-Ramanujganj



Questionnaire No. 2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
 (To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name- Mr. N.K. Dewangan


Department _____

Programme -BA II
 years from to -2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	17	8	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	18	7	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	19	6	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	20	5	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	15	10	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	18	7	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	14	11	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	15	10	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	19	6	0	0
10	Overall rating	14	11	0	0
Total		169	81	0	0

percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	50.00	45.83	4.17	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	91.67	8.33	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	45.83	54.17	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	58.33	41.67	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	70.83	29.17	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	58.33	41.67	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

[Signature]
Tanya

[Signature]

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
 (To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

NAME Dr.U.K.Panday


Programme B.A II
 years:from to-2018-19

Department _____

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	19	5	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	15	9	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	18	6	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	11	12	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	19	4	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	8	16	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	21	3	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	12	12	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	12	12	0	0
10	Overall rating	20	4	0	0
Total		155	83	0	0

PRESENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	79.17	20.83	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	62.50	37.50	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	45.83	50.00	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	79.17	16.67	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Abh
Tanya

Prinsh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balarampur
Dist. - Balarampur - Ramanujgar

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

NAME Dr. ARCHANA GUPTA

Programme-B.A. II



Department _____

years from to-2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	8	16	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	6	18	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	3	20	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	3	21	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	17	7	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	14	10	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	9	15	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	9	15	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	7	17	0	0
10	Overall rating	6	18	0	0
Total		82	157	1	0

PERCENTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	25.00	75.00	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	12.50	83.33	4.17	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	12.50	87.50	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	70.83	29.17	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	58.33	41.67	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	37.50	62.50	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	37.50	62.50	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	29.17	70.83	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	25.00	75.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

H.L.
Tajja

Dinesh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujanj,

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

NAME- Mr. VINEET KUMAR GUPT


programme-B.A II
years from to-2018-19

Department- _____

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	20	4	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	19	5	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	11	12	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	11	12	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	14	9	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	10	13	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	15	8	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	11	12	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	14	9	0	0
10	Overall rating	11	12	0	0
	Total	136	96	0	0

PRESANTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	79.17	20.83	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	45.83	50.00	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	45.83	50.00	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	58.33	37.50	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	41.67	54.17	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	62.50	33.33	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	45.83	50.00	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	58.33	37.50	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	45.83	50.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

[Signature]
[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
Principal
 Govt College Balarampur
 Dist. Balarampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

NAME: Mr. SHAILESH KANOUHYA


programme-B A II
years from to-2018-19

Department: _____

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	8	14	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	14	8	2	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	15	9	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	15	9	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	11	11	2	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	11	13	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	11	11	2	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	15	9	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	14	10	0	0
10	Overall rating	3	21	0	0
Total		117	115	7	0

PRESANTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	34.78	60.87	4.35	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	60.87	34.78	8.70	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	65.22	39.13	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	65.22	39.13	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	47.83	47.83	8.70	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	47.83	56.52	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	47.83	47.83	8.70	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	65.22	39.13	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	60.87	43.48	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	13.04	91.30	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

AL
Taj

Dinesh

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. Balrampur (Ramanujan)

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A Hnd
Subject Name - Political Science

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	9	11	3	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	12	9	2	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	9	10	4	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	13	8	2	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	15	8	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	14	7	2	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	11	8	4	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	14	9	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	13	10	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	14	9	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	8	10	5	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	15	8	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	14	8	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	11	12	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	12	11	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	10	13	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	14	9	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		208	160	23	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	39.13	47.83	13.04	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	52.17	39.13	8.70	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	39.13	43.48	17.39	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	56.52	34.78	8.70	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	65.22	34.78	0.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	60.87	30.43	8.70	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	47.83	34.78	17.39	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	60.87	39.13	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	56.52	43.48	0.00	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	60.87	39.13	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	34.78	43.48	21.74	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	65.22	34.78	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	60.87	34.78	4.35	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	47.83	52.17	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	52.17	47.83	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	43.48	56.52	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	60.87	39.13	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter so no need to take action.

Beh
Tanya

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanuiganj

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A Hnd
Subject Name - Sociology

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	8	12	3	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	11	10	2	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	10	11	2	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	12	9	2	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	14	9	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	13	8	2	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	10	9	4	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	13	10	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	12	9	2	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	13	10	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	7	11	5	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	14	9	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	13	9	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	10	11	2	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	11	10	2	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	9	11	3	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	13	10	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		193	168	30	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	34.78	52.17	13.04	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	47.83	43.48	8.70	0.00
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	43.48	47.83	8.70	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	52.17	39.13	8.70	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	60.87	39.13	0.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	56.52	34.78	8.70	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	43.48	39.13	17.39	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	56.52	43.48	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	52.17	39.13	8.70	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	56.52	43.48	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	30.43	47.83	21.74	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	60.87	39.13	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	56.52	39.13	4.35	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	43.48	47.83	8.70	0.00
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	47.83	43.48	8.70	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	39.13	47.83	13.04	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	56.52	43.48	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter so no need to take action.

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balarampur
 Dist.-Balarampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A Hnd
Subject Name - Hindi

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	7	12	4	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	10	10	3	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	10	10	3	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	12	8	3	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	13	9	1	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	12	8	3	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	9	9	5	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	13	9	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	12	8	3	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	13	9	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	6	11	6	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	13	8	1	0
13	Internal assessment was.	13	9	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	10	10	3	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	11	9	3	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	9	10	4	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	12	10	1	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		185	159	46	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	30.43	52.17	17.39	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	43.48	43.48	13.04	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	43.48	43.48	13.04	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	52.17	34.78	13.04	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	56.52	39.13	4.35	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	52.17	34.78	13.04	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	39.13	39.13	21.74	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	56.52	39.13	4.35	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	52.17	34.78	13.04	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	56.52	39.13	4.35	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	26.09	47.83	26.09	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	56.52	34.78	4.35	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	56.52	39.13	4.35	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	43.48	43.48	13.04	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	47.83	39.13	13.04	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	39.13	43.48	17.39	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	52.17	43.48	4.35	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter so no need to take action.

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. -Balrampur-Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A IIInd
Subject Name - English

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	7	12	4	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	9	10	4	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	9	11	3	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	12	8	3	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	13	9	1	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	12	8	3	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	8	9	6	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	11	11	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	12	8	3	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	13	9	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	6	10	7	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	13	7	3	0
13	Internal assessment was.	13	8	2	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	10	10	3	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	11	9	3	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	9	10	4	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	12	10	1	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		180	159	52	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	30.43	52.17	17.39	0.00
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	39.13	43.48	17.39	0.00
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	39.13	47.83	13.04	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	52.17	34.78	13.04	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	56.52	39.13	4.35	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	52.17	34.78	13.04	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	34.78	39.13	26.09	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	47.83	47.83	4.35	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	52.17	34.78	13.04	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	56.52	39.13	4.35	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	26.09	43.48	30.43	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	56.52	30.43	13.04	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	56.52	34.78	8.70	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	43.48	43.48	13.04	0.00
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	47.83	39.13	13.04	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	39.13	43.48	17.39	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	52.17	43.48	4.35	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no action is required.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. -Balrampur-Ramanujganj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subjec - Sociology

Semester/Year - B A IIIrd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	16	17	3	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	12	23	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	14	21	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	18	16	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	13	22	1	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	25	10	0	1
7	Extent of effort required by students.	27	9	0	0
8	Overall rating.	17	18	1	0
Total		142	136	9	1

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	44.44	47.22	8.33	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	33.33	63.89	2.78	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	38.89	58.33	2.78	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50.00	44.44	5.56	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	36.11	61.11	2.78	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	69.44	27.78	0.00	2.78
7	Extent of effort required by students.	75.00	25.00	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	47.22	50.00	2.78	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter in unsatisfied parameter appropriate action has taken.

(Handwritten signatures)

(Handwritten signature)

Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujganj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subjec - Hindi

Semester/Year - B A IIIrd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	18	11	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	22	7	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	21	8	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	19	6	4	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	17	10	2	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	20	8	1	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	17	10	2	0
8	Overall rating	20	7	2	0
Total		154	67	11	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	62.07	37.93	0.00	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	75.86	24.14	0.00	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	72.41	27.59	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	65.52	20.69	13.79	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	58.62	34.48	6.90	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	68.97	27.59	3.45	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	58.62	34.48	6.90	0.00
8	Overall rating	68.97	24.14	6.90	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten signature)

Principal
 Govt. College Bastrapur
 Dist -Bastrapur-Ramanujanj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subjec - English

Semester/Year - B A IIIrd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	13	10	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	12	9	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	21	3	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	9	15	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	8	16	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	9	15	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	9	15	0	0
8	Overall rating.	8	12	4	0
Total		80	98	14	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	54.17	41.67	4.17	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	12.50	50.00	37.50	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	87.50	12.50	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	37.50	62.50	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	37.50	62.50	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	37.50	62.50	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

AD *Shrestha* *Tanya*

[Signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujganj


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subjec - Political Science

Semester/Year - B A IIIrd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	14	10	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4	12	9	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	20	3	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	9	16	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	7	16	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	9	16	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	10	15	0	0
8	Overall rating.	8	13	4	0
Total		81	101	14	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	56	40	4	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	16	48	36	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	80	12	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	36	64	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	28	64	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	36	64	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	40	60	0	0
8	Overall rating.	32	52	16	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON TEACHERS

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with the teacher on every given parameter hence no action is required.

(Handwritten signatures)

(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten signature)

Principal
 Govt. College Balarampur
 Dist. Balarampur-Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

NAME - Dr. ARCHANA GUPTA

programme-B.A III


Department- _____

years from to-2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2	20	6	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	5	19	4	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	4	23	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	3	23	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	1	20	7	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	4	11	13	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	2	18	8	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	6	14	4	4
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	8	12	5	3
10	Overall rating	16	8	4	0
Total		51	168	54	7

PRESANTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	7.14	71.43	21.43	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	17.86	67.86	14.29	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	14.29	82.14	3.57	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	10.71	82.14	7.14	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3.57	71.43	25.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	14.29	39.29	46.43	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	7.14	64.29	28.57	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	21.43	50.00	14.29	14.29
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	28.57	42.86	17.86	10.71
10	Overall rating	57.14	28.57	14.29	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

[Signature]
[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujanj

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

NAME - Dr. U. K. Pandey

programme-B.A III


Department-

years from 10-2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4	18	6	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	6	18	4	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	5	22	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	5	21	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	5	16	7	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	6	9	13	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	8	12	8	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	10	10	4	4
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	12	8	5	3
10	Overall rating	20	4	4	0
Total		81	138	54	7

PRESANTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	14.29	64.29	21.43	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	21.43	64.29	14.29	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	17.86	78.57	3.57	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	17.86	75.00	7.14	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	17.86	57.14	25.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	21.43	32.14	46.43	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	28.57	42.86	28.57	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	35.71	35.71	14.29	14.29
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	42.86	28.57	17.86	10.71
10	Overall rating	71.43	14.29	14.29	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

NAME - Mr. N. K. Dewangan


programme-B.A III
years from to-2018-19

Department- _____

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	5	17	6	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	10	14	4	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	8	19	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	6	20	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	5	16	7	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	8	7	13	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	7	13	8	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	8	12	4	4
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	10	10	5	3
10	Overall rating	18	6	4	0
Total		85	134	54	7

PRESANTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	17.86	60.71	21.43	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	35.71	50.00	14.29	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	28.57	67.86	3.57	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	21.43	71.43	7.14	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	17.86	57.14	25.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	28.57	25.00	46.43	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	25.00	46.43	28.57	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	28.57	42.86	14.29	14.29
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	35.71	35.71	17.86	10.71
10	Overall rating	64.29	21.43	14.29	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-2
Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

NAME - Mr. Shailesh Kannoujiya


programme-B.A III
years from to-2018-19

Department- _____

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	1	21	6	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	5	18	5	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	5	22	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	5	21	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	4	17	7	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	7	8	13	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	6	14	8	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	6	14	4	4
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	8	12	5	3
10	Overall rating	15	9	4	0
Total		62	156	55	7

PRESANTAGE

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.57	75.00	21.43	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	17.86	64.29	17.86	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	17.86	78.57	3.57	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	17.86	75.00	7.14	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	14.29	60.71	25.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	25.00	28.57	46.43	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	21.43	50.00	28.57	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	21.43	50.00	14.29	14.29
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	28.57	42.86	17.86	10.71
10	Overall rating	53.57	32.14	14.29	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in Unsatisfied parameters teacher has been instructed to take necessary action.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist. Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A Hrd
Subject Name - Sociology

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	23	2	11	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	5	28	1	2
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	11	19	6	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	22	13	0	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	4	26	5	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	23	11	0	2
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	23	13	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	23	8	5	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	29	2	1	4
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	29	5	0	2
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	26	10	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	25	9	0	2
13	Internal assessment was.	28	5	0	6
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	16	15	5	3
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	25	8	1	2
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	22	13	0	1
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	34	1	0	1
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		368	188	35	27

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	63.89	5.56	30.56	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	13.89	77.78	2.78	5.56
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	30.56	52.78	16.67	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	61.11	36.11	0.00	2.78
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	11.11	72.22	13.89	2.78
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	63.89	30.56	0.00	5.56
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	63.89	36.11	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	63.89	22.22	13.89	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	80.56	5.56	2.78	11.11
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	80.56	13.89	0.00	5.56
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	72.22	27.78	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	69.44	25.00	0.00	5.56
13	Internal assessment was.	77.78	13.89	0.00	16.67
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	44.44	41.67	13.89	8.33
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	69.44	22.22	2.78	5.56
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	61.11	36.11	0.00	2.78
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	94.44	2.78	0.00	2.78
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that majority of students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter but only a few percentage of students has showed unsatisfactory. To remove unsatisfaction from students teacher of the concerned subject has been instructed to take proper care of students and motivate them.

[Handwritten signatures]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A IIIrd
Subject Name - Hindi

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	27	2	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	5	17	6	2
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	21	8	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	20	10	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	16	14	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	20	10	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	25	5	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	22	8	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	19	11	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	20	10	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	24	5	1	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	16	13	1	0
13	Internal assessment was.	25	2	3	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	22	6	2	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	6	20	3	1
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	21	9	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	22	5	3	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		331	155	21	3

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	90.00	6.67	3.33	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	16.67	56.67	20.00	6.67
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	70.00	26.67	3.33	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	53.33	46.67	0.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	63.33	36.67	0.00	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	80.00	16.67	3.33	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	53.33	43.33	3.33	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	83.33	6.67	10.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	73.33	20.00	6.67	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	20.00	66.67	10.00	3.33
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	70.00	30.00	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	73.33	16.67	10.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

(Handwritten signatures)

(Handwritten signature)
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujang

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B A IIIrd
Subject Name - English

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	25	4	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	3	19	6	2
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	19	10	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	18	12	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	14	16	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	18	12	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	23	7	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	20	10	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	15	15	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	18	12	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	20	9	1	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	14	15	1	0
13	Internal assessment was.	23	4	3	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	20	8	2	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	4	22	3	1
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	19	11	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	20	7	3	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		293	193	21	3

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	83.33	13.33	3.33	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	10.00	63.33	20.00	6.67
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	63.33	33.33	3.33	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	46.67	53.33	0.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	76.67	23.33	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	60.00	40.00	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	66.67	30.00	3.33	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	46.67	50.00	3.33	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	76.67	13.33	10.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	66.67	26.67	6.67	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	13.33	73.33	10.00	3.33
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	63.33	36.67	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	66.67	23.33	10.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Handwritten signatures and initials

Handwritten signature
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B A IIIrd


Subject Name - Political Science

programme :
 Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.				
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	20	9	1	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	1	21	6	2
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	15	14	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	11	15	4	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	15	15	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	15	15	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	23	7	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	20	10	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	15	15	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	20	10	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	15	14	1	0
13	Internal assessment was.	14	15	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	23	4	3	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	18	10	2	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	6	20	3	1
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	15	15	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	18	9	3	0
	Total	264	218	25	3

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.				
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	66.67	30.00	3.33	0.00
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	3.33	70.00	20.00	6.67
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	50.00	46.67	3.33	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	36.67	50.00	13.33	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	76.67	23.33	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	50.00	46.67	3.33	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	46.67	50.00	3.33	0.00
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	76.67	13.33	10.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	60.00	33.33	6.67	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	20.00	66.67	10.00	3.33
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
		60.00	30.00	10.00	0.00
		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some crieteria becne the appropriate action has been taken.

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist - Balrampur - Ramanujgarh

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2016-17

Subject - English

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	1	7	1	1
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4	4	1	1
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	4	3	2	1
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4	5	0	1
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	5	3	0	2
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	6	3	0	1
7	Extent of effort required by students.	5	4	0	1
8	Overall rating.	4	5	1	0
Total		33	34	5	8

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	10	70	10	10
2	Extent of coverage of course.	40	40	10	10
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	40	30	20	10
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	40	50	0	10
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	50	30	0	20
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	60	30	0	10
7	Extent of effort required by students.	50	40	0	10
8	Overall rating.	40	50	10	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Principal

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2016-17

Subject - Hindi

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	3	7	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	6	4	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	8	1	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4	6	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	6	3	1	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	7	2	1	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	8	2	0	0
8	Overall rating.	6	4	0	0
Total		48	29	3	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	30	70	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	60	40	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	80	10	10	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	40	60	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	60	30	10	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	70	20	10	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	80	20	0	0
8	Overall rating.	60	40	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subject - Chemistry

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	0	6	3	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	2	5	2	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	0	4	4	1
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	1	4	3	1
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	0	5	3	1
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	0	6	3	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	1	6	2	0
8	Overall rating.	1	5	2	1
Total		5	41	22	4

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	0.00	44.44	44.44	11.11
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	11.11	44.44	33.33	11.11
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	0.00	55.56	33.33	11.11
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	11.11	66.67	22.22	0.00
8	Overall rating.	11.11	55.56	22.22	11.11



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some crieteria hecne the appropariate action has been taken.

AD

V. S. D.

[Signature]
Principal
Balrampur

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2016-17

Subject - Botany

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	1	6	2	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	0	7	2	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	2	6	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2	5	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	1	5	3	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	2	6	1	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	3	5	1	0
8	Overall rating.	3	6	0	0
Total		14	46	12	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	11.11	66.67	22.22	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	0.00	77.78	22.22	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	11.11	55.56	33.33	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
8	Overall rating.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Principal

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2016-17

Subject - Zoology

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	6	2	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	0	6	3	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	4	5	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2	5	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	3	4	2	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	2	5	2	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	1	6	2	0
8	Overall rating.	1	4	4	0
Total		19	37	16	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	66.67	22.22	11.11	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	11.11	66.67	22.22	0.00
8	Overall rating.	11.11	44.44	44.44	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - Dinesh Kushwaha

Course - B Sc Ist


programme :

Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	25	21	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	24	22	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	20	26	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	19	25	3	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	23	24	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	14	29	4	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	18	26	3	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	23	24	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	22	25	0	0
10	Overall rating	18	29	0	0
Total		206	251	13	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	53.19	44.68	2.13	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	51.06	46.81	2.13	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	42.55	55.32	2.13	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	40.43	53.19	6.38	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	48.94	51.06	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	29.79	61.70	8.51	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	38.30	55.32	6.38	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	48.94	51.06	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	46.81	53.19	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	38.30	61.70	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action



Questionnaire No.-2

**Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)**


Name - N. K. Singh
Course - B Sc Ist

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	33	14	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	23	22	2	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	33	14	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	26	21	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	31	16	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	28	17	2	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	31	16	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	31	16	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	36	11	0	0
10	Overall rating	30	17	0	0
Total		302	164	4	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	70.21	29.79	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	48.94	46.81	4.26	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	70.21	29.79	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	55.32	44.68	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	65.96	34.04	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	59.57	36.17	4.26	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	65.96	34.04	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	65.96	34.04	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	76.60	23.40	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	63.83	36.17	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher (To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Jitendra Das
Course - B Sc Ist

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	14	24	9	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	8	26	13	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	9	25	13	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	7	28	12	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	9	26	12	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	8	29	10	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	9	24	14	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	9	27	11	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	13	27	7	0
10	Overall rating	11	25	11	0
Total		97	261	112	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	29.79	51.06	19.15	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	17.02	55.32	27.66	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	19.15	53.19	27.66	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	14.89	59.57	25.53	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	19.15	55.32	25.53	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	17.02	61.70	21.28	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	19.15	51.06	29.79	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	19.15	57.45	23.40	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	27.66	57.45	14.89	0.00
10	Overall rating	23.40	53.19	23.40	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching in every given parameter hence, no need to take action



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - N. K. Dewangan

programme :


Course - B Sc Ist

Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	25	20	2	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	19	25	3	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	17	28	2	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	20	26	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	12	30	5	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	21	24	2	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	20	24	3	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	15	29	3	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	18	27	2	0
10	Overall rating	18	27	2	0
Total		185	260	25	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	53.19	42.55	4.26	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	40.43	53.19	6.38	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	36.17	59.57	4.26	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	42.55	55.32	2.13	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	25.53	63.83	10.64	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	44.68	51.06	4.26	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	42.55	51.06	6.38	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	31.91	61.70	6.38	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	38.30	57.45	4.26	0.00
10	Overall rating	38.30	57.45	4.26	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Dr. U. K. Pandey
Course - B Sc Ist

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	29	17	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	29	18	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	27	18	2	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	28	19	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	32	15	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	31	16	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	24	22	1	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	28	19	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	19	25	3	0
10	Overall rating	24	22	1	0
Total		271	191	8	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	61.70	36.17	2.13	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	61.70	38.30	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	57.45	38.30	4.26	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	59.57	40.43	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	68.09	31.91	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	65.96	34.04	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	51.06	46.81	2.13	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	59.57	40.43	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	40.43	53.19	6.38	0.00
10	Overall rating	51.06	46.81	2.13	0.00



Very Good
 Good
 Satisfactory
 Unsatisfactory



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - N. K. Dewangan

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	7	0	2	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	1	8	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	1	8	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0	6	2	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	1	4	1	3
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	1	4	1	3
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3	5	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4	3	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	2	6	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	2	5	2	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	3	5	1	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	4	5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	4	4	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	2	5	2	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	1	3	5	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5	3	1	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	8	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		49	75	22	7

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	77.78	0.00	22.22	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	11.11	88.89	0.00	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	11.11	88.89	0.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0.00	66.67	22.22	11.11
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	11.11	44.44	11.11	33.33
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	11.11	44.44	11.11	33.33
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	44.44	33.33	22.22	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	11.11	33.33	55.56	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some crieteria hecne the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - Priyanka Jaisawal

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	7	2	0	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	0	6	3	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	2	2	1	4
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0	4	4	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0	5	0	4
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	3	4	2	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	1	8	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	1	6	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	1	4	0	4
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	2	4	3	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	2	5	2	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	4	3	2	0
13	Internal assessment was.	8	0	0	1
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	6	2	1	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	7	2	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	2	5	2	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	3	5	1	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		49	67	23	14

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	22.22	22.22	11.11	44.44
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0.00	44.44	44.44	11.11
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0.00	55.56	0.00	44.44
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	11.11	88.89	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	11.11	66.67	22.22	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	11.11	44.44	0.00	44.44
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	22.22	44.44	33.33	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	44.44	33.33	22.22	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	88.89	0.00	0.00	11.11
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	66.67	22.22	11.11	0.00
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-3

Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - N. K. Singh

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	6	1	0	1
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	1	6	0	1
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	1	6	0	1
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	1	5	1	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	1	5	1	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	1	7	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4	2	1	1
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5	3	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	5	2	0	1
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	4	4	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	6	2	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	4	4	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	1	6	0	1
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	1	1	1
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	4	3	0	1
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	3	5	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	0	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		52	62	4	10

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	75	12.5	0	12.5
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	12.5	75	0	12.5
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	12.5	75	0	12.5
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	12.5	62.5	12.5	12.5
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	12.5	62.5	12.5	12.5
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	12.5	87.5	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	50	25	12.5	12.5
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	62.5	37.5	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	62.5	25	0	12.5
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	50	50	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	75	25	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	50	50	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	12.5	75	0	12.5
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	62.5	12.5	12.5	12.5
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	50	37.5	0	12.5
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	37.5	62.5	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	0	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - Dinesh kushwaha

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	8	1	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	0	6	3	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	1	5	1	2
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0	2	7	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	2	1	3	3
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	1	4	2	2
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	1	7	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3	4	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	3	4	0	2
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	2	7	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	5	4	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	3	4	1	1
13	Internal assessment was.	7	1	0	1
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	7	0	1	1
15	How frequently you get response from the teachers on your work.	5	3	0	1
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	3	5	1	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	9	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		60	58	22	13

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	0.00	66.67	22.22	11.11
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	11.11	55.56	11.11	22.22
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0.00	22.22	55.56	22.22
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	22.22	11.11	33.33	33.33
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	11.11	44.44	22.22	22.22
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	11.11	77.78	11.11	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	33.33	44.44	0.00	22.22
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	22.22	77.78	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	33.33	44.44	11.11	11.11
13	Internal assessment was.	77.78	11.11	0.00	11.11
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	77.78	0.00	11.11	11.11
15	How frequently you get response from the teachers on your work.	55.56	33.33	0.00	11.11
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - Jitendra das

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	1	1	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	0	2	1	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	1	2	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	1	1	0	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0	2	1	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	0	2	0	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	1	2	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	1	2	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	1	1	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	1	1	0	1
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	1	2	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	2	1	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	2	1	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	2	1	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	1	2	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	1	1	0	1
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	3	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		19	24	3	4

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	33.33	33.33	33.33	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	33.33	33.33	0.00	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	33.33	33.33	0.00	33.33
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except criteria no 4, 6, 10, 16. the concerned teacher has been instructed

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2017-18

Subject - English

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	0	8	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	4	2	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	5	3	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	1	3	4	1
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	3	4	2	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	6	3	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	4	4	1	0
8	Overall rating.	4	5	0	0
Total		26	34	11	1

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	0.00	88.89	11.11	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	11.11	33.33	44.44	11.11
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
8	Overall rating.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

[Handwritten Signature]

[Handwritten Signature]

[Handwritten Signature]

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2017-18

Subject - Hindi

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	6	1	1	1
2	Extent of coverage of course.	2	6	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	2	5	1	1
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	3	5	0	1
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	5	4	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	6	3	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	2	6	1	0
8	Overall rating.	3	5	1	0
Total		29	35	5	3

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	66.67	11.11	11.11	11.11
2	Extent of coverage of course.	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	22.22	55.56	11.11	11.11
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	33.33	55.56	0.00	11.11
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
8	Overall rating.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2017-18

Subject - Chemistry

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	0	9	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	5	3	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	3	6	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	5	4	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	3	5	1	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	2	5	2	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	5	4	0	0
8	Overall rating.	2	7	0	0
Total		25	43	4	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	22.22	77.78	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2017-18

Subject - Botany

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	1	4	3	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	1	5	1	1
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	0	7	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	0	6	1	1
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	2	4	1	1
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3	5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	2	6	0	0
8	Overall rating.	1	5	1	1
Total		10	42	8	4

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	12.5	50	37.5	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	12.5	62.5	12.5	12.5
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	0	87.5	12.5	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	0	75	12.5	12.5
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	25	50	12.5	12.5
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	37.5	62.5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	25	75	0	0
8	Overall rating.	12.5	62.5	12.5	12.5



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2017-18

Subject - Zoology

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	0	8	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	5	3	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	6	1	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	6	2	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	5	3	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3	5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	6	2	0	0
8	Overall rating.	5	3	0	0
Total		36	27	1	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	0	100	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	62.5	37.5	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	75	12.5	12.5	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	75	25	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	62.5	37.5	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	37.5	62.5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	75	25	0	0
8	Overall rating.	62.5	37.5	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - N. K. Dewangan
 Course - B Sc Ist

programme :
 Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	20	23	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	15	23	5	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	13	27	3	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	12	24	7	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	17	24	2	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	10	24	9	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	15	25	3	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	15	23	5	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	16	22	5	0
10	Overall rating	10	24	9	0
Total		143	239	48	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	46.51	53.49	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	34.88	53.49	11.63	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	30.23	62.79	6.98	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	27.91	55.81	16.28	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	39.53	55.81	4.65	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	23.26	55.81	20.93	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	34.88	58.14	6.98	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	34.88	53.49	11.63	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	37.21	51.16	11.63	0.00
10	Overall rating	23.26	55.81	20.93	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher (To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - N. K. Singh


Course - B Sc Ist

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	35	8	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	38	5	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	30	13	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	25	18	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	35	8	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	31	12	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	30	13	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	35	8	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	35	8	0	0
10	Overall rating	36	7	0	0
Total		330	100	0	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	81.40	18.60	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	88.37	11.63	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	69.77	30.23	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	58.14	41.86	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	81.40	18.60	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	72.09	27.91	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	69.77	30.23	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	81.40	18.60	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	81.40	18.60	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	83.72	16.28	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Ab

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Roshni Siddhiqu
Course - B Sc Ist

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	29	14	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	32	11	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	32	10	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	33	10	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	30	13	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	33	10	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	28	14	1	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	30	12	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	35	8	0	0
10	Overall rating	32	11	0	0
Total		314	113	3	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	67.44	32.56	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	74.42	23.26	2.33	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	76.74	23.26	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	69.77	30.23	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	76.74	23.26	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	65.12	32.56	2.33	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	69.77	27.91	2.33	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	81.40	18.60	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Ab

o.c. eel

[Signature]



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - Priyanka Jaisawal

Course - B Sc Ist


programme :

Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	27	15	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	29	14	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	32	11	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	30	13	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	32	11	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	32	11	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	33	10	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	31	12	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	37	5	1	0
10	Overall rating	29	13	1	0
Total		312	115	3	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	62.79	34.88	2.33	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	67.44	32.56	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	69.77	30.23	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	76.74	23.26	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	72.09	27.91	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	86.05	11.63	2.33	0.00
10	Overall rating	67.44	30.23	2.33	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Dinesh Kushwaha
Course - B Sc Ist

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	34	9	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	33	10	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	32	9	2	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	26	17	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	31	11	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	26	16	1	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	26	17	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	30	11	2	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	34	9	0	0
10	Overall rating	30	13	0	0
Total		302	122	6	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	79.07	20.93	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	76.74	23.26	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	74.42	20.93	4.65	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	60.47	39.53	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	72.09	25.58	2.33	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	60.47	37.21	2.33	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	60.47	39.53	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	69.77	25.58	4.65	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	79.07	20.93	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	69.77	30.23	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and



Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - N. K. Dewangan

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	9	0	0	8
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	0	8	0	9
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	9	8	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	7	6	1	3
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	6	8	1	2
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	9	6	1	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	8	7	0	2
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	8	4	0	5
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	8	6	0	3
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	6	5	1	5
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	6	6	0	5
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	7	2	0	8
13	Internal assessment was.	5	6	0	6
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	7	1	0	9
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	7	1	0	9
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	8	2	0	7
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	9	0	0	8
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		119	76	4	90

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	52.94	0.00	0.00	47.06
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	0.00	47.06	0.00	52.94
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	52.94	47.06	0.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	41.18	35.29	5.88	17.65
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	35.29	47.06	5.88	11.76
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	52.94	35.29	5.88	5.88
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	47.06	41.18	0.00	11.76
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	47.06	23.53	0.00	29.41
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	47.06	35.29	0.00	17.65
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	35.29	29.41	5.88	29.41
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	35.29	35.29	0.00	29.41
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	41.18	11.76	0.00	47.06
13	Internal assessment was.	29.41	35.29	0.00	35.29
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	41.18	5.88	0.00	52.94
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	41.18	5.88	0.00	52.94
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	47.06	11.76	0.00	41.18
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	52.94	0.00	0.00	47.06
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some crieteria hecne the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - Priyanka Jaisawal

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	8	1	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	9	0	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0	9	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3	0	4	2
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	2	5	2	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	1	7	1	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	2	5	1	1
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5	3	0	1
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	3	6	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	5	3	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	5	4	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	7	1	0	1
13	Internal assessment was.	6	0	1	2
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	9	0	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	9	0	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	7	0	2	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	8	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		89	45	12	7

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	33.33	0.00	44.44	22.22
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	11.11	77.78	11.11	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	22.22	55.56	11.11	11.11
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	55.56	33.33	0.00	11.11
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	77.78	11.11	0.00	11.11
13	Internal assessment was.	66.67	0.00	11.11	22.22
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	77.78	0.00	22.22	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - Roshni Siddhiqu

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	5	2	1	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	0	6	2	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	2	6	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3	5	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0	8	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	1	7	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3	4	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3	5	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	5	3	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	6	2	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	7	1	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	4	3	0	1
13	Internal assessment was.	6	1	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	3	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	8	0	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	6	0	2	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	8	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		72	56	7	1

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	55.56	25.00	12.50	0.00
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	0.00	75.00	25.00	0.00
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	22.22	75.00	0.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	33.33	62.50	0.00	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	11.11	87.50	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	33.33	50.00	12.50	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	33.33	62.50	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	55.56	37.50	0.00	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	66.67	25.00	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	77.78	12.50	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	44.44	37.50	0.00	12.50
13	Internal assessment was.	66.67	12.50	12.50	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	55.56	37.50	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	88.89	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	66.67	0.00	25.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	88.89	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - N. K. Singh

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	7	1	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	1	8	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0	8	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	5	3	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	2	6	1	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	2	7	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4	5	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4	4	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	4	5	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	6	3	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	8	1	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	7	2	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	8	1	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	7	2	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	5	4	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	7	2	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	8	0	1	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		85	62	6	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	77.78	11.11	11.11	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	11.11	88.89	0.00	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0.00	88.89	11.11	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	22.22	77.78	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	88.89	0.00	11.11	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

S. Nishu *Sw*

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - Dr. S. N. Sahu

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	4	4	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	1	5	2	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	1	6	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2	5	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	1	5	2	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	1	6	0	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	1	6	0	1
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	0	4	4	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	6	2	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	4	4	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	6	2	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	7	1	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	7	1	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	2	1	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	2	6	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	6	2	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	8	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		62	61	11	2

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	50	50	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	12.5	62.5	25	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	12.5	75	12.5	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	25	62.5	12.5	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	12.5	62.5	25	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	12.5	75	0	12.5
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	12.5	75	0	12.5
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	0	50	50	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	75	25	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	50	50	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	75	25	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	87.5	12.5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	87.5	12.5	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	62.5	25	12.5	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	25	75	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	75	25	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter, except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - English

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	7	10	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	5	11	2	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	5	9	4	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4	11	2	1
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	5	12	1	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	4	12	2	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	6	9	2	1
8	Overall rating.	4	10	4	0
Total		40	84	18	2

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	38.89	55.56	5.56	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	27.78	61.11	11.11	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	27.78	50.00	22.22	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	22.22	61.11	11.11	5.56
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	27.78	66.67	5.56	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	33.33	50.00	11.11	5.56
8	Overall rating.	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

AB

Shresh

Tadga

[Signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujganj

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2018-19

Subject - Hindi

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	4	11	1	2
2	Extent of coverage of course.	2	11	5	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	3	12	3	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	8	6	3	1
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	4	10	3	1
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	5	11	1	1
7	Extent of effort required by students.	5	10	3	0
8	Overall rating.	5	8	4	1
Total		36	79	23	6

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	22.22	61.11	5.56	11.11
2	Extent of coverage of course.	11.11	61.11	27.78	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	16.67	66.67	16.67	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	44.44	33.33	16.67	5.56
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	22.22	55.56	16.67	5.56
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	27.78	61.11	5.56	5.56
7	Extent of effort required by students.	27.78	55.56	16.67	0.00
8	Overall rating.	27.78	44.44	22.22	5.56



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2018-19

Subject - Chemistry

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	9	7	1	1
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4	10	3	1
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	4	10	4	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	6	9	3	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	4	10	3	1
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	5	10	3	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	5	6	7	0
8	Overall rating.	5	7	5	1
Total		42	69	29	4

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	50.00	38.89	5.56	5.56
2	Extent of coverage of course.	22.22	55.56	16.67	5.56
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	22.22	55.56	16.67	5.56
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	27.78	55.56	16.67	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	27.78	33.33	38.89	0.00
8	Overall rating.	27.78	38.89	27.78	5.56



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - Botany

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	4	10	4	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4	8	5	1
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	6	8	4	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	5	10	3	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	5	8	5	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	7	8	3	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	6	9	3	0
8	Overall rating.	5	9	4	0
Total		42	70	31	1

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	22.22	44.44	27.78	5.56
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	27.78	55.56	16.67	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	27.78	44.44	27.78	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	38.89	44.44	16.67	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
8	Overall rating.	27.78	50.00	22.22	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc Ist 2018-19

Subject - Zoology

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	6	12	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	5	9	4	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	6	9	3	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	7	9	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	8	8	2	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	6	9	3	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	7	10	1	0
8	Overall rating.	7	10	1	0
Total		52	76	16	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	27.78	50.00	22.22	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	38.89	50.00	11.11	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	38.89	55.56	5.56	0.00
8	Overall rating.	38.89	55.56	5.56	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - Suresh Kumar Ravi

programme :


Course - B Sc Ist

Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	52	38	3	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	63	30	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	60	33	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	52	39	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	45	48	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	52	40	1	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	43	43	7	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	49	42	2	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	43	47	3	0
10	Overall rating	43	50	0	0
Total		502	410	18	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	55.91	40.86	3.23	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	67.74	32.26	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	64.52	35.48	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	55.91	41.94	2.15	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	48.39	51.61	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	55.91	43.01	1.08	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	46.24	46.24	7.53	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	52.69	45.16	2.15	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	46.24	50.54	3.23	0.00
10	Overall rating	46.24	53.76	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed, it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence, no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - N. K. Singh
Course - B Sc Ist

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	46	46	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	43	44	6	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	43	49	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	44	44	5	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	47	43	3	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	47	42	4	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	48	43	2	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	47	43	3	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	44	47	2	0
10	Overall rating	52	40	0	1
Total		461	441	27	1

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	49.46	49.46	1.08	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	46.24	47.31	6.45	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	46.24	52.69	1.08	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	47.31	47.31	5.38	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	50.54	46.24	3.23	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	50.54	45.16	4.30	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	51.61	46.24	2.15	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	50.54	46.24	3.23	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	47.31	50.54	2.15	0.00
10	Overall rating	55.91	43.01	0.00	1.08



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher (To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - S. N. Sahu

Course - B Sc Ist


programme :

Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	56	33	4	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	47	43	3	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	54	37	2	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	49	42	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	65	26	2	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	69	23	1	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	53	39	1	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	70	23	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	75	16	2	0
10	Overall rating	59	32	2	0
Total		597	314	19	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	60.22	35.48	4.30	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	50.54	46.24	3.23	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	58.06	39.78	2.15	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	52.69	45.16	2.15	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	69.89	27.96	2.15	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	74.19	24.73	1.08	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	56.99	41.94	1.08	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	75.27	24.73	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	80.65	17.20	2.15	0.00
10	Overall rating	63.44	34.41	2.15	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - N. K. Dewangan

Course - B Sc Ist


programme :

Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	60	29	4	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	69	23	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	56	37	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	59	33	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	69	24	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	60	33	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	71	22	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	72	21	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	76	17	0	0
10	Overall rating	66	27	0	0
Total		658	266	6	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	64.52	31.18	4.30	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	74.19	24.73	1.08	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	60.22	39.78	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	63.44	35.48	1.08	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	74.19	25.81	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	64.52	35.48	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	76.34	23.66	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	77.42	22.58	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	81.72	18.28	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	70.97	29.03	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher) (To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Priyanka Jaisawal
Course - B Sc Ist

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	35	50	8	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	38	44	11	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	37	50	6	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	39	43	11	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	37	46	10	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	39	47	7	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	35	50	8	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	33	50	10	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	42	44	7	0
10	Overall rating	45	45	3	0
Total		380	469	81	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	37.63	53.76	8.60	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	40.86	47.31	11.83	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	39.78	53.76	6.45	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	41.94	46.24	11.83	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	39.78	49.46	10.75	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	41.94	50.54	7.53	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	37.63	53.76	8.60	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	35.48	53.76	10.75	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	45.16	47.31	7.53	0.00
10	Overall rating	48.39	48.39	3.23	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.



Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - N. K. Dewangan

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	7	8	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	4	9	3	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	1	13	2	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4	8	2	2
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	2	11	3	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	4	9	2	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	5	9	2	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3	8	5	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	8	7	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	10	6	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	10	6	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	8	8	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	5	8	2	1
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	10	6	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	5	11	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	8	8	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	16	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		110	135	23	4

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	43.75	50	6.25	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	25	56.25	18.75	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	6.25	81.25	12.5	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	25	50	12.5	12.5
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	12.5	68.75	18.75	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	25	56.25	12.5	6.25
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	31.25	56.25	12.5	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	18.75	50	31.25	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	50	43.75	6.25	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	62.5	37.5	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	62.5	37.5	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	50	50	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	31.25	50	12.5	6.25
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	62.5	37.5	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	31.25	68.75	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	50	50	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - Priyanka Jaisawal

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	12	2	3	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	1	14	2	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	1	13	3	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	1	13	3	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	6	8	3	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	1	11	3	2
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	2	14	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	0	14	2	1
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	4	12	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	2	12	3	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	8	9	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	11	6	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	1	13	2	1
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	7	8	1	1
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	6	11	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	14	3	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	16	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		93	164	27	5

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	70.59	11.76	17.65	0.00
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	5.88	82.35	11.76	0.00
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	5.88	76.47	17.65	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	5.88	76.47	17.65	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	35.29	47.06	17.65	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	5.88	64.71	17.65	11.76
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	11.76	82.35	5.88	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	0.00	82.35	11.76	5.88
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	23.53	70.59	5.88	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	11.76	70.59	17.65	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	47.06	52.94	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	64.71	35.29	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	5.88	76.47	11.76	5.88
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	41.18	47.06	5.88	5.88
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	35.29	64.71	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	82.35	17.65	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	94.12	5.88	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and

[Handwritten signature]

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - Suresh Ravi

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	11	5	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	0	13	3	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0	11	1	4
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0	6	10	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0	8	5	3
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	0	12	1	3
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	8	6	2	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	11	2	2	1
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	7	8	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	6	10	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	9	7	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	6	9	1	0
13	Internal assessment was.	11	4	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	8	7	1	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	9	5	2	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	3	11	2	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	14	2	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		103	126	32	11

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	68.75	31.25	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	0	81.25	18.75	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0	68.75	6.25	25
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0	37.5	62.5	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0	50	31.25	18.75
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	0	75	6.25	18.75
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	50	37.5	12.5	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	68.75	12.5	12.5	6.25
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	43.75	50	6.25	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	37.5	62.5	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	56.25	43.75	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	37.5	56.25	6.25	0
13	Internal assessment was.	68.75	25	6.25	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	50	43.75	6.25	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	56.25	31.25	12.5	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	18.75	68.75	12.5	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	87.5	12.5	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - N. K. Singh

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	12	5	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	12	5	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	2	10	3	2
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0	8	7	2
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0	8	8	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	0	13	4	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	0	15	2	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	1	14	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	7	10	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	0	14	3	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	7	10	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	7	9	1	0
13	Internal assessment was.	3	14	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	7	10	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	2	12	3	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	1	14	2	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	13	4	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		74	175	35	5

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	70.59	29.41	0.00	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	70.59	29.41	0.00	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	11.76	58.82	17.65	11.76
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0.00	47.06	41.18	11.76
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0.00	47.06	47.06	5.88
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	0.00	76.47	23.53	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	0.00	88.24	11.76	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5.88	82.35	11.76	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	41.18	58.82	0.00	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	0.00	82.35	17.65	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	41.18	58.82	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	41.18	52.94	5.88	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	17.65	82.35	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	41.18	58.82	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	11.76	70.59	17.65	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5.88	82.35	11.76	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	76.47	23.53	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter, except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc Ist
Name - Dr. S. N. Sahu

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	8	7	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	2	12	2	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	3	10	3	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4	9	2	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	2	11	2	1
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	3	11	2	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4	11	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	11	5	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	6	8	2	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	4	11	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	8	8	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	10	6	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	11	5	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	10	6	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	9	7	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	12	4	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	15	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		122	132	16	2

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	50	43.75	6.25	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	12.5	75	12.5	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	18.75	62.5	18.75	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	25	56.25	12.5	6.25
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	12.5	68.75	12.5	6.25
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	18.75	68.75	12.5	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	25	68.75	6.25	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	68.75	31.25	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	37.5	50	12.5	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	25	68.75	6.25	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	50	50	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	62.5	37.5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	68.75	31.25	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	62.5	37.5	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	56.25	43.75	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	75	25	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	93.75	6.25	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some crieteria hecne the appropariate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc IIInd 2017-18

Subject - English

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	2	6	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	6	2	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	4	4	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	3	5	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	7	1	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	7	1	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	7	1	0	0
8	Overall rating.	5	3	0	0
Total		41	23	0	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	25	75	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	75	25	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	50	50	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	37.5	62.5	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	87.5	12.5	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	87.5	12.5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	87.5	12.5	0	0
8	Overall rating.	62.5	37.5	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

AD

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc IInd 2017-18

Subject - Botany

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	4	4	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	2	6	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	2	6	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4	4	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	3	5	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3	5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	5	3	0	0
8	Overall rating.	6	2	0	0
Total		29	35	0	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	50	50	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	25	75	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	25	75	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50	50	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	37.5	62.5	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	37.5	62.5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	62.5	37.5	0	0
8	Overall rating.	75	25	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

(Signature)

(Signature)

(Signature)

Principal
Govt. College Balrampur
Dist. Balrampur (C.G.)

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc IInd 2017-18

Subject - Chemistry

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	3	5	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4	3	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	2	5	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4	4	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	4	3	1	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	4	4	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	5	3	0	0
8	Overall rating.	4	4	0	0
Total		30	31	3	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	37.5	62.5	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	50	37.5	12.5	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	25	62.5	12.5	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50	50	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	50	37.5	12.5	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	50	50	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	62.5	37.5	0	0
8	Overall rating.	50	50	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

AR

Shreshth

Tanya

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc IInd 2017-18

Subject - Zoology

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	4	4	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	5	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	3	4	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2	4	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	5	3	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	5	3	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	5	3	0	0
8	Overall rating.	3	5	0	0
Total		30	31	3	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	50	50	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	37.5	62.5	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	37.5	50	12.5	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	25	50	25	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	62.5	37.5	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	62.5	37.5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	62.5	37.5	0	0
8	Overall rating.	37.5	62.5	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Alh

Finest

Tina

Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - Hindi

Semester/Year - B Sc IInd 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	4	4	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	5	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	2	6	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4	4	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	6	2	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3	5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	6	2	0	0
8	Overall rating.	5	3	0	0
Total		33	31	0	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	50	50	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	37.5	62.5	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	25	75	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50	50	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	75	25	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	37.5	62.5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	75	25	0	0
8	Overall rating.	62.5	37.5	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Principal
Govt. College Balrampur

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - Roshni siddhiqu

programme :


Course - B Sc IInd

Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	27	15	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	30	13	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	23	19	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	24	18	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	26	16	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	32	11	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	32	11	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	27	16	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	25	18	0	0
10	Overall rating	17	24	2	0
Total		263	161	6	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	62.79	34.88	2.33	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	69.77	30.23	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	53.49	44.19	2.33	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	55.81	41.86	2.33	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	60.47	37.21	2.33	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	62.79	37.21	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	58.14	41.86	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	39.53	55.81	4.65	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - N. K. Dewangan

Course - B Sc IIInd


programme :

Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	35	8	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	32	11	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	29	14	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	32	11	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	32	10	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	36	6	1	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	32	11	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	33	10	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	29	12	2	0
10	Overall rating	30	9	4	0
Total		320	102	8	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	81.40	18.60	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	67.44	32.56	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	74.42	23.26	2.33	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	83.72	13.95	2.33	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	76.74	23.26	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	67.44	27.91	4.65	0.00
10	Overall rating	69.77	20.93	9.30	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Priyanka Jaisawal
Course - B Sc IInd

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	32	11	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	30	12	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	31	10	2	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	29	12	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	29	12	2	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	30	12	1	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	27	16	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	29	14	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	28	15	0	0
10	Overall rating	31	12	0	0
Total		296	126	8	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	69.77	27.91	2.33	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	72.09	23.26	4.65	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	67.44	27.91	4.65	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	67.44	27.91	4.65	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	69.77	27.91	2.33	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	62.79	37.21	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	67.44	32.56	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	65.12	34.88	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	72.09	27.91	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Dinesh Kushwaha
Course - B Sc IIInd

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	33	9	1	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	29	14	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	31	11	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	32	10	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	30	12	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	23	20	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	25	16	2	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	29	13	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	31	12	0	0
10	Overall rating	30	13	0	0
Total		293	130	7	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	76.74	20.93	2.33	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	67.44	32.56	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	72.09	25.58	2.33	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	74.42	23.26	2.33	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	69.77	27.91	2.33	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	53.49	46.51	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	58.14	37.21	4.65	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	67.44	30.23	2.33	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	72.09	27.91	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	69.77	30.23	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - N. K. Singh

Course - B Sc IInd


programme :

Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	35	8	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	32	11	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	31	12	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	35	8	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	34	9	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	32	11	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	32	11	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	32	10	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	32	11	0	0
10	Overall rating	31	12	0	0
Total		326	103	1	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	81.40	18.60	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	72.09	27.91	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	81.40	18.60	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	79.07	20.93	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	74.42	23.26	2.33	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	74.42	25.58	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	72.09	27.91	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.



Principal
Government College, Barampur

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IInd
Name - N. K. Dewangan

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	2	5	1	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	3	4	1	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	2	5	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4	4	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	3	4	1	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	7	1	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	2	4	2	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	2	5	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	3	5	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	2	5	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	5	3	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	3	5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	5	2	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	2	5	1	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	6	2	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	2	6	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	3	4	1	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	4	4	0	0
Total		60	73	11	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	25	62.5	12.5	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	37.5	50	12.5	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	25	62.5	12.5	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	50	50	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	37.5	50	12.5	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	87.5	12.5	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	25	50	25	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	25	62.5	12.5	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	37.5	62.5	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	25	62.5	12.5	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	62.5	37.5	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	37.5	62.5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	62.5	25	12.5	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	25	62.5	12.5	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	75	25	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	25	75	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	37.5	50	12.5	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	50	50	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

(Handwritten initials)

(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten signature)

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IInd
Name - N. K. Singh

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	2	6	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	2	6	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	1	5	2	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3	5	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	5	3	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	5	3	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	2	4	2	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	2	4	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	6	2	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	2	4	2	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	5	2	1	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	5	3	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	2	6	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	2	5	1	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	5	2	1	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	3	4	1	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	7	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	4	3	1	0
Total		63	68	13	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	25	75	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	25	75	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	12.5	62.5	25	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	37.5	62.5	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	62.5	37.5	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	62.5	37.5	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	25	50	25	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	25	50	25	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	75	25	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	25	50	25	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	62.5	25	12.5	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	62.5	37.5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	25	75	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	25	62.5	12.5	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	62.5	25	12.5	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	37.5	50	12.5	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	87.5	12.5	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	50	37.5	12.5	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IIInd
Name - Dinesh Kushwaha

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	3	4	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	2	5	1	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	1	5	2	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3	5	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	5	3	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	3	5	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	5	3	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3	4	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	3	5	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	6	1	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	4	4	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	1	7	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	3	5	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	2	5	1	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	4	4	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	3	4	1	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	4	3	1	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	4	4	0	0
Total		59	76	9	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	37.5	50	12.5	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	25	62.5	12.5	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	12.5	62.5	25	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	37.5	62.5	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	62.5	37.5	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	37.5	62.5	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	62.5	37.5	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	37.5	50	12.5	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	37.5	62.5	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	75	12.5	12.5	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	50	50	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	12.5	87.5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	37.5	62.5	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	25	62.5	12.5	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	50	50	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	37.5	50	12.5	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	50	37.5	12.5	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	50	50	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Principal
Balrampur

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IInd
Name - Roshni Siddhiqu

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	3	5	1	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	4	3	2	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	4	4	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	5	4	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	3	5	1	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	1	8	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	8	1	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	2	6	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	4	4	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	2	6	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	6	3	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	3	5	1	0
13	Internal assessment was.	3	6	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	2	7	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	4	4	1	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5	3	1	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	5	4	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	7	2	0	0
Total		71	80	11	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	44.44	33.33	22.22	0.00
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	11.11	88.89	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	22.22	77.78	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

AB

[Handwritten signature]

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IInd
Name - Priyanka Jaisawal

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	3	5	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	4	5	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	7	2	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	6	3	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	3	6	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	5	4	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4	4	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3	6	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	7	1	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	3	6	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	6	3	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	1	7	1	0
13	Internal assessment was.	6	3	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	4	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	4	5	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5	3	1	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	5	4	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	3	5	1	0
Total		80	76	6	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	77.78	11.11	11.11	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	11.11	77.78	11.11	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence, no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-1


Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subject - English

Semester/Year - B Sc IInd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	4	5	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4	5	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	5	4	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	3	6	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	5	4	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	5	4	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	3	5	1	0
8	Overall rating.	4	5	0	0
Total		33	38	1	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
8	Overall rating.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Al

W.esh

Principal

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc IInd 2018-19

Subject - Botany

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	2	6	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	2	6	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	3	6	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2	6	1	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	4	5	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	4	4	1	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	2	5	2	0
8	Overall rating.	3	5	1	0
Total		22	43	7	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
8	Overall rating.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

AB

Arrest

[Signature]

[Signature]
Principal
Govt. College Balrampur


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - Chemistry

Semester/Year - B Sc IIInd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	4	6	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4	6	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	3	6	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2	7	1	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	4	5	1	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	4	6	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	4	6	0	0
8	Overall rating.	2	6	2	0
Total		27	48	5	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	40	60	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	40	60	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	30	60	10	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	20	70	10	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	40	50	10	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	40	60	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	40	60	0	0
8	Overall rating.	20	60	20	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
 Principal
 Balampur


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - Zoology

Semester/Year - B Sc IInd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	3	4	2	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4	4	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	3	5	1	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2	5	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	4	5	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	4	4	1	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	2	5	2	0
8	Overall rating.	3	5	1	0
Total		25	37	10	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
8	Overall rating.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

AD

K.resh

Tanya

[Signature]
 Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - Hindi

Semester/Year - B Sc IInd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	4	6	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4	6	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	5	5	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	5	5	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	4	6	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	5	5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	7	3	0	0
8	Overall rating.	4	6	0	0
Total		38	42	0	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	40	60	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	40	60	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	50	50	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50	50	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	40	60	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	50	50	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	70	30	0	0
8	Overall rating.	40	60	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Alh

es

[Signature]

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - N. K. Dewangan
Course - B Sc IInd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	29	14	3	1
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	30	16	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	28	15	4	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	29	16	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	22	17	8	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	25	19	3	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	33	13	1	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	18	23	6	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	31	13	3	0
10	Overall rating	24	20	3	0
Total		269	166	34	1

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	61.70	29.79	6.38	2.13
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	63.83	34.04	2.13	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	59.57	31.91	8.51	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	61.70	34.04	4.26	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	46.81	36.17	17.02	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	53.19	40.43	6.38	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	70.21	27.66	2.13	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	38.30	48.94	12.77	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	65.96	27.66	6.38	0.00
10	Overall rating	51.06	42.55	6.38	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter In some area minor unsatisfaction hence no need to take action.



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - N. K. Singh
Course - B Sc IInd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	21	7	2	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	19	10	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	22	7	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	17	12	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	20	10	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	13	15	2	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	15	12	3	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	22	8	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	18	11	1	0
10	Overall rating	18	11	1	0
Total		185	103	12	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	70.00	23.33	6.67	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	63.33	33.33	3.33	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	73.33	23.33	3.33	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	56.67	40.00	3.33	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	43.33	50.00	6.67	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	50.00	40.00	10.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	73.33	26.67	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	60.00	36.67	3.33	0.00
10	Overall rating	60.00	36.67	3.33	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Suresh Ravi
Course - B Sc IInd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	17	11	2	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	13	15	2	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	15	13	2	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	17	12	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	15	13	2	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	16	13	1	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	7	20	3	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	10	15	5	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	15	13	2	0
10	Overall rating	15	13	2	0
Total		140	138	22	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	56.67	36.67	6.67	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	43.33	50.00	6.67	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	50.00	43.33	6.67	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	56.67	40.00	3.33	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	50.00	43.33	6.67	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	53.33	43.33	3.33	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	23.33	66.67	10.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	50.00	43.33	6.67	0.00
10	Overall rating	50.00	43.33	6.67	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Dinesh Kushwaha
Course - B Sc IInd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	19	9	2	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	13	15	2	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	17	12	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	15	15	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	15	14	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	14	16	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	10	16	4	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	11	15	4	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	11	16	3	0
10	Overall rating	12	15	3	0
Total		137	143	20	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	63.33	30.00	6.67	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	43.33	50.00	6.67	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	56.67	40.00	3.33	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	50.00	46.67	3.33	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	46.67	53.33	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	33.33	53.33	13.33	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	36.67	50.00	13.33	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	36.67	53.33	10.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	40.00	50.00	10.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action



Questionnaire No.-2

**Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)**


Name - Priyanka Jaisawal
Course - B Sc IInd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	11	17	2	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	10	16	4	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	7	19	4	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	13	15	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	13	13	4	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	11	15	4	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	14	12	4	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	10	15	5	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	11	14	5	0
10	Overall rating	15	15	0	0
Total		115	151	34	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	36.67	56.67	6.67	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	33.33	53.33	13.33	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	23.33	63.33	13.33	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	43.33	50.00	6.67	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	43.33	43.33	13.33	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	36.67	50.00	13.33	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	46.67	40.00	13.33	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	36.67	46.67	16.67	0.00
10	Overall rating	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Handwritten initials/signature

Handwritten signature

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IInd
Name - N. K. Dewangan

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	5	4	0	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	3	4	2	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	6	3	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4	5	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	5	4	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	4	5	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3	5	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4	5	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	4	4	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	2	5	2	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	3	5	1	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	5	4	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	3	5	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	4	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	3	5	1	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	4	5	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	5	4	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	5	4	0	0
Total		73	80	9	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	22.22	55.56	22.22	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

(Handwritten signatures and initials in blue and green ink)

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IInd
Name - N. K. Singh

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	6	4	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	3	5	2	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	6	3	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	5	4	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	6	3	1	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	4	5	1	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3	6	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	6	3	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	5	4	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	5	4	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	4	6	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	3	7	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	4	5	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	5	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	3	7	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5	5	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	6	4	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	6	4	0	0
Total		85	84	11	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	60	40	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	30	50	20	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	60	30	10	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	50	40	10	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	60	30	10	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	40	50	10	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	30	60	10	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	60	30	10	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	50	40	10	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	50	40	10	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	40	60	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	30	70	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	40	50	10	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	50	50	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	30	70	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	50	50	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	60	40	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	60	40	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IInd
Name - Dinesh Kushwaha

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	4	5	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	6	3	1	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	3	5	2	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4	5	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	5	5	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	3	7	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3	6	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5	4	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	5	5	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	3	6	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	4	5	1	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	3	6	1	0
13	Internal assessment was.	3	6	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	6	4	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	4	5	1	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5	5	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	7	3	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	9	1	0	0
Total		82	86	12	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	40	50	10	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	60	30	10	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	30	50	20	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	40	50	10	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	50	50	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	30	70	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	30	60	10	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	50	40	10	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	50	50	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	30	60	10	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	40	50	10	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	30	60	10	0
13	Internal assessment was.	30	60	10	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	60	40	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	40	50	10	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	50	50	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	70	30	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	90	10	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IInd
Name - Surersh Ravi

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	3	4	2	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	5	4	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	5	3	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4	4	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	4	5	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	5	4	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	2	6	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	6	3	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	5	4	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	4	5	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	3	5	1	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	4	5	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	5	4	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	4	5	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	4	4	1	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	6	3	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	4	5	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	5	4	0	0
Total		78	77	7	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	33.33	44.44	22.22	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	22.22	66.67	11.11	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

AD

Principal

Principal

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IInd
Name - Priyanka Jaisawal

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	3	6	0	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	1	4	4	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	5	4	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	5	4	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	4	5	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	6	3	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3	5	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	5	4	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	5	3	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	5	4	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	5	4	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	5	4	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	6	3	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	4	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	4	5	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	6	3	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	5	4	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	4	5	0	0
Total		82	74	6	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	11.11	44.44	44.44	0.00
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	33.33	55.56	11.11	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature
Principal


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - English

Semester/Year - B Sc IIIrd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	8	2	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	5	5	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	7	3	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	5	5	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	4	6	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	7	3	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	6	4	0	0
8	Overall rating.	7	3	0	0
Total		49	31	0	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	80	20	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	50	50	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	70	30	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50	50	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	40	60	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	70	30	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	60	40	0	0
8	Overall rating.	70	30	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

AL

Shresh

[Signature]
Principal

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc IIIrd 2018-19

Subject - Hindi

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	5	5	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	6	3	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	7	3	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	5	5	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	4	5	1	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	7	3	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	6	4	0	0
8	Overall rating.	9	1	0	0
Total		49	29	2	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	50	50	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	60	30	10	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	70	30	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50	50	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	40	50	10	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	70	30	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	60	40	0	0
8	Overall rating.	90	10	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature
Principal
Balarampur

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc IIIrd 2018-19

Subject - Chemistry

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	4	5	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	7	3	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	7	3	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	7	3	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	5	5	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	9	1	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	4	6	0	0
8	Overall rating.	5	5	0	0
Total		48	31	1	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	40	50	10	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	70	30	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	70	30	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	70	30	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	50	50	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	90	10	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	40	60	0	0
8	Overall rating.	50	50	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

(Signature)

(Signature)

(Signature)

Principal

Questionnaire No.-1

Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc IIIrd 2018-19

Subject - Botany

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	6	3	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	6	4	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	6	4	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	6	4	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	8	2	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	5	5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	2	8	0	0
8	Overall rating.	4	5	1	0
Total		43	35	2	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	60	30	10	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	60	40	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	60	40	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	60	40	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	80	20	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	50	50	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	20	80	0	0
8	Overall rating.	40	50	10	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Al

[Signature]

[Signature]
Principal

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -

Semester/Year - B Sc IIIrd 2018-19

Subject - Zoology

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	4	5	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	5	5	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	4	6	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	5	5	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	7	3	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	5	5	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	2	6	2	0
8	Overall rating.	9	1	0	0
Total		41	36	3	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	40	50	10	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	50	50	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	40	60	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	50	50	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	70	30	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	50	50	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	20	60	20	0
8	Overall rating.	90	10	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON FEEDBACK FROM STUDENTS: COURSE EVALUATION

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Ad

Shreshth

[Signature]
Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - N. K. Dewangan

Course - B Sc IIIrd


programme :

Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	13	25	13	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	9	27	12	3
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	13	22	9	7
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	14	27	9	1
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	14	27	10	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	11	25	14	1
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	11	30	10	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	14	27	10	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	12	28	11	0
10	Overall rating	10	29	9	3
Total		121	267	107	15

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	25.49	49.02	25.49	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	17.65	52.94	23.53	5.88
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	25.49	43.14	17.65	13.73
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	27.45	52.94	17.65	1.96
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	27.45	52.94	19.61	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	21.57	49.02	27.45	1.96
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	21.57	58.82	19.61	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	27.45	52.94	19.61	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	23.53	54.90	21.57	0.00
10	Overall rating	19.61	56.86	17.65	5.88



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher) (To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Suresh Ravi
Course - B Sc IIIrd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	16	23	2	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	18	22	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	16	22	3	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	14	22	5	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	13	23	5	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	15	22	4	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	17	22	2	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	12	22	7	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	11	22	8	0
10	Overall rating	13	23	5	0
Total		145	223	42	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	39.02	56.10	4.88	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	43.90	53.66	2.44	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	39.02	53.66	7.32	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	34.15	53.66	12.20	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	31.71	56.10	12.20	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	36.59	53.66	9.76	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	41.46	53.66	4.88	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	29.27	53.66	17.07	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	26.83	53.66	19.51	0.00
10	Overall rating	31.71	56.10	12.20	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

(Handwritten signature)

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher (To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Priyanka Jaisawal
Course - B Sc IIIrd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	14	31	6	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	18	28	5	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	13	32	6	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	0	11	33	7
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	17	29	5	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	18	27	6	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	16	32	3	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	19	29	3	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	20	27	4	0
10	Overall rating	14	30	7	0
Total		149	276	78	7

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	27.45	60.78	11.76	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	35.29	54.90	9.80	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	25.49	62.75	11.76	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	0.00	21.57	64.71	13.73
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	33.33	56.86	9.80	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	35.29	52.94	11.76	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	31.37	62.75	5.88	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	37.25	56.86	5.88	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	39.22	52.94	7.84	0.00
10	Overall rating	27.45	58.82	13.73	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Dinesh Kushwaha
Course - B Sc IIIrd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	19	9	2	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	13	15	2	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	17	12	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	15	15	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	15	14	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	14	16	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	10	16	4	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	11	15	4	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	11	16	3	0
10	Overall rating	12	15	3	0
Total		137	143	20	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	63.33	30.00	6.67	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	43.33	50.00	6.67	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	56.67	40.00	3.33	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	50.00	46.67	3.33	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	46.67	53.33	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	33.33	53.33	13.33	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	36.67	50.00	13.33	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	36.67	53.33	10.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	40.00	50.00	10.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - N. K. Singh
Course - B Sc IIIrd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	19	22	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	17	21	3	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	17	21	3	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	12	23	6	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	16	19	6	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	12	25	4	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	14	23	4	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	14	21	6	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	12	21	8	0
10	Overall rating	11	25	5	0
Total		144	221	45	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	46.34	53.66	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	41.46	51.22	7.32	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	41.46	51.22	7.32	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	29.27	56.10	14.63	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	39.02	46.34	14.63	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	29.27	60.98	9.76	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	34.15	56.10	9.76	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	34.15	51.22	14.63	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	29.27	51.22	19.51	0.00
10	Overall rating	26.83	60.98	12.20	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON STUDENT FEEDBACK ON TEACHER

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IIIrd
Name - N. K. Dewangan

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	4	6	0	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	5	4	1	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	2	7	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2	7	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	3	5	2	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	4	6	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3	4	3	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	2	3	5	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	5	4	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	1	7	2	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	1	9	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	1	7	2	0
13	Internal assessment was.	2	7	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	1	7	2	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	3	7	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	1	5	4	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	4	6	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		44	101	25	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	40	60	0	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	50	40	10	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	20	70	10	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	20	70	10	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	30	50	20	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	40	60	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	30	40	30	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	20	30	50	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	50	40	10	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	10	70	20	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	10	90	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	10	70	20	0
13	Internal assessment was.	20	70	10	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	10	70	20	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	30	70	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	10	50	40	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	40	60	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IIIrd
Name - N. K. Singh

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	4	6	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	9	1	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	5	5	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3	7	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	1	6	3	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	2	3	5	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	0	7	3	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3	5	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	4	3	3	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	3	5	2	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	0	8	2	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	0	8	2	0
13	Internal assessment was.	2	7	1	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	6	4	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	0	3	7	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	0	2	7	1
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	0	5	5	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	5	5	0	0
Total		47	90	42	1

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	40	60	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	90	10	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	50	50	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	30	70	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	10	60	30	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	20	30	50	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	0	70	30	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	30	50	20	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	40	30	30	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	30	50	20	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	0	80	20	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	0	80	20	0
13	Internal assessment was.	20	70	10	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	60	40	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	0	30	70	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	0	20	70	10
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	0	50	50	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	50	50	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter except some criteria hence the appropriate action has been taken.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IIIrd
Name - Dinesh Kushwaha

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	0	9	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	2	7	1	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	3	7	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3	6	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	3	5	2	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	2	7	1	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3	7	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	2	6	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	2	8	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	4	6	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	2	8	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	3	7	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	2	8	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	3	7	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	3	7	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5	5	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	3	7	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		45	117	8	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	0	90	10	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	20	70	10	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	30	70	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	30	60	10	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	30	50	20	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	20	70	10	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	30	70	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	20	60	20	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	20	80	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	40	60	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	20	80	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	30	70	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	20	80	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	30	70	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	30	70	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	50	50	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	30	70	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence, no need to take action

(Handwritten signature)

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IIIrd
Name - Suresh Ravi

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	1	7	2	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	3	7	0	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	3	7	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	3	7	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	3	7	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	2	6	2	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	6	4	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3	5	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	2	7	1	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	2	8	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	3	6	1	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	2	8	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	3	7	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	5	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	2	8	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	2	7	1	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	2	8	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		47	114	9	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	10	70	20	0
2	Background for banefitting from the course was.	30	70	0	0
3	Was the course easy bor difficult to understand.	30	70	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	30	70	0	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	30	70	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	20	60	20	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	60	40	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	30	50	20	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	20	70	10	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	20	80	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	30	60	10	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	20	80	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	30	70	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	50	50	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	20	80	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	20	70	10	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	20	80	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



AL

ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter.

[Handwritten signature]

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Sc IIIrd
Name - Dr. U. K. Pandey

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	5	5	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	4	6	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	3	7	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2	7	1	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	2	7	1	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	3	7	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	2	5	3	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	2	6	2	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	1	5	4	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	3	6	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	2	7	1	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	2	7	1	0
13	Internal assessment was.	3	5	2	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	4	1	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	4	6	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5	5	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	5	5	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		53	100	17	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	50	50	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	40	60	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	30	70	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	20	70	10	0
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	20	70	10	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	30	70	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	20	50	30	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	20	60	20	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	10	50	40	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	30	60	10	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	20	70	10	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	20	70	10	0
13	Internal assessment was.	30	50	20	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	50	40	10	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	40	60	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	50	50	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	50	50	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action

[Handwritten signature]


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - B Com

Semester/Year - B Com Ist 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	5	0	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	3	2	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	3	2	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	5	0	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	1	4	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3	2	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	4	1	0	0
8	Overall rating.	5	0	0	0
Total		29	11	0	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	100	0	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	60	40	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	60	40	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	100	0	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	20	80	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	60	40	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	80	20	0	0
8	Overall rating.	100	0	0	0



Action Taken Report on Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Mr. N. K. Dewangan
Course - B Com Ist

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	5	0	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	3	2	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	4	1	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	3	2	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	2	3	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	5	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	5	0	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	4	1	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	5	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	3	2	0	0
Total		39	11	0	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	100	0	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	60	40	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	80	20	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	60	40	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	40	60	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	100	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	100	0	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	80	20	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	100	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	60	40	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Principal
Balrampur



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Dr. U. K. Pandey
Course - B Com Ist

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4	0	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	3	1	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	4	0	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	3	1	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	2	2	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	4	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	4	0	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	3	1	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	4	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	3	1	0	0
Total		34	6	0	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	100	0	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	75	25	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	100	0	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	75	25	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	50	50	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	100	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	100	0	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	75	25	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	100	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	75	25	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Pooja Haldar
Course - B Com Ist

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	5	0	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	4	1	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	4	1	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	3	2	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	1	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	5	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	5	0	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	5	0	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	5	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	4	1	0	0
Total		43	6	1	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	100	0	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	80	20	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	80	20	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	60	40	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	60	20	20	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	100	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	100	0	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	100	0	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	100	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	80	20	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Principal

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching


Course Name - B Com Ist
Name - poorja

programme :
Years: 2016-17

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	5	0	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	3	1	1	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	4	1	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	2	0	1	2
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	5	0	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	4	0	0	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	3	2	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4	1	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	4	1	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	4	0	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	4	1	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	4	1	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	5	0	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	4	1	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from the teachers on your work.	4	1	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5	0	0	
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	5	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		69	10	3	3

Percentage


S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	100	0	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	60	20	20	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	80	20	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	40	0	20	40
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	100	0	0	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	80	0	0	20
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	60	40	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	80	20	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	80	20	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	80	0	20	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	80	20	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	80	20	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	100	0	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	80	20	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from the teachers on your work.	80	20	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	100	0	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in criteria 6 students found unsatisfied hence students have been instructed to visit library for further informations.

Handwritten signatures in blue ink.


Principal
 Govt. College Balrampur
 Dist.-Balrampur-Ramanujanji


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - B Com

Semester/Year - B Com Ist 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	5	4	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	5	3	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	3	6	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	7	2	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	4	5	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	2	3	4	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	2	7	0	0
8	Overall rating.	4	4	1	0
Total		32	34	6	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	55.56	33.33	11.11	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	22.22	33.33	44.44	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	22.22	77.78	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	44.44	44.44	11.11	0.00



Action Taken Report on Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature
 principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - N. K. Dewangan
Course - B Com Ist

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2	4	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	0	5	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	2	3	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	1	4	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	1	4	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	1	5	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	3	3	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	1	5	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0	5	1	0
10	Overall rating	0	6	0	0
Total		11	44	5	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	0.00	83.33	16.67	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	16.67	66.67	16.67	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	16.67	66.67	16.67	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	16.67	83.33	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	16.67	83.33	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	0.00	83.33	16.67	0.00
10	Overall rating	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and

AK

S. Singh

Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - Ramesh Patel

Course - B Com Ist


programme :

Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	6	3	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	6	2	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	7	2	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	7	1	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	5	4	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	4	5	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	6	3	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	6	3	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	6	3	0	0
10	Overall rating	7	2	0	0
Total		60	28	2	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	66.67	22.22	11.11	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	77.78	11.11	11.11	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	44.44	55.56	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and

Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Priyanka Jaisawal
Course - B Com Ist

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	0	5	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	1	3	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	0	3	2	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	0	3	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	0	4	0	1
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	0	4	0	1
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	0	5	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	2	3	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	1	4	0	0
10	Overall rating	2	3	0	0
Total		6	37	5	2

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	0	100	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	20	60	20	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	0	60	40	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	0	60	40	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	0	80	0	20
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	0	80	0	20
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	0	100	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	40	60	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	20	80	0	0
10	Overall rating	40	60	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers -

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and

Principal
Govt. College Balrampur

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Pooja Haldar
Course - B Com Ist

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3	1	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	4	0	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	3	1	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	4	0	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	0	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	4	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	3	1	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	3	0	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	4	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	3	1	0	0
Total		34	4	2	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	75	25	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	100	0	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	75	25	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	100	0	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	75	0	25	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	100	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	75	25	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	75	0	25	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	100	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	75	25	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and



Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B Com Ist

Name - *Mr. Ramesh patel*

programme :

Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	6	0	3	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	1	6	2	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0	7	2	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0	4	1	4
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0	6	3	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	2	7	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	7	1	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4	2	3	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	6	2	0	1
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	6	3	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	7	2	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	7	2	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	6	1	0	2
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	7	1	0	1
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	7	2	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5	4	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	8	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		79	51	15	8


Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	66.67	0.00	33.33	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	11.11	66.67	22.22	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0.00	77.78	22.22	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0.00	44.44	11.11	44.44
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	22.22	77.78	0.00	0.00
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	77.78	11.11	11.11	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	44.44	22.22	33.33	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	66.67	22.22	0.00	11.11
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	66.67	11.11	0.00	22.22
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	77.78	11.11	0.00	11.11
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	77.78	22.22	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	55.56	44.44	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	88.89	11.11	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

AP

120

100
80
60
40
20
0



- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that almost all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter and necessary action has taken in unsatisfied criteria.

Principal
College Balrampur


Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
 Subject - B Com

Semester/Year - B Com Ist 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	5	6	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	5	6	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	5	6	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	7	4	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	0	10	1	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	4	3	4	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	7	4	0	0
8	Overall rating.	4	5	2	0
Total		37	44	7	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	45.45	54.55	0.00	0.00
2	Extent of coverage of course.	45.45	54.55	0.00	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	45.45	54.55	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	63.64	36.36	0.00	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	0.00	90.91	9.09	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	36.36	27.27	36.36	0.00
7	Extent of effort required by students.	63.64	36.36	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	36.36	45.45	18.18	0.00



Action Taken Report on Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)
(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Ramesh Patel
Course - B Com Ist

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	8	3	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	6	5	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	9	1	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	6	5	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	5	6	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	4	7	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	7	4	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	6	4	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	7	2	2	0
10	Overall rating	9	1	1	0
Total		67	38	5	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	54.55	45.45	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	81.82	9.09	9.09	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	54.55	45.45	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	45.45	54.55	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	36.36	63.64	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	63.64	36.36	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	54.55	36.36	9.09	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	63.64	18.18	18.18	0.00
10	Overall rating	81.82	9.09	9.09	0.00



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take any action.



Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B Com Ist

Name - *Mr. Ramesh Ramesh*


programme :

Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	4	6	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	1	5	5	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0	4	6	1
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0	4	3	4
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0	6	2	3
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	2	8	0	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	5	6	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4	3	4	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	4	1	0	6
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	5	6	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	9	2	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	10	1	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	9	1	0	1
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	10	1	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	8	3	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	10	0	0	1
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	11	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		92	57	21	17

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	36.36	54.55	9.09	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	9.09	45.45	45.45	0.00
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0.00	36.36	54.55	9.09
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0.00	36.36	27.27	36.36
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	0.00	54.55	18.18	27.27
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	18.18	72.73	0.00	9.09
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	45.45	54.55	0.00	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	36.36	27.27	36.36	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	36.36	9.09	0.00	54.55
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	45.45	54.55	0.00	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	81.82	18.18	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	81.82	9.09	0.00	9.09
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	90.91	9.09	0.00	0.00
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	72.73	27.27	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	90.91	0.00	0.00	9.09
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in criteria 3 -teachers are advised to make classroom active and interesting, 4-- to cover the syllaabus extra classes were organised in criteria 9- necessary action has been taken, criteria 13- 14 the problems have been noted and tried to resolve.

Questionnaire No.-1


Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty/Department -
Subject - B Com

Semester/Year - B Com IInd 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	2	2	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	4	0	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	1	3	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4	0	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	2	2	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	2	2	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	4	0	0	0
8	Overall rating.	3	1	0	0
Total		22	10	0	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	50	50	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	100	0	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	25	75	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	100	0	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	50	50	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	50	50	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	100	0	0	0
8	Overall rating.	75	25	0	0



Action Taken Report on Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature
Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)

Name - N. K. Dewangan

Course - B Com IInd


programme :

Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2	2	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	2	2	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	2	2	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	2	2	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	1	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	3	1	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	3	1	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	2	1	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	3	0	1	0
10	Overall rating	2	2	0	0
Total		24	14	2	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	50	50	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	50	50	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	50	50	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	50	50	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	75	25	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	75	25	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	75	25	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	50	25	25	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	75	0	25	0
10	Overall rating	50	50	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Ramesh Patel
Course - B Com IInd

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3	1	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	4	0	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	3	1	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	2	2	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	4	0	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	4	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	3	1	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	2	2	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	3	1	0	0
10	Overall rating	4	0	0	0
Total		32	8	0	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	75	25	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	100	0	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	75	25	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	50	50	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	100	0	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	100	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	75	25	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	50	50	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	75	25	0	0
10	Overall rating	100	0	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Priyanka Jaysawal
Course - B Com IInd

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	1	3	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	3	0	1	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	2	1	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	1	2	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	1	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	1	3	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	2	1	1	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	3	1	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	3	1	0	0
10	Overall rating	1	3	0	0
Total		20	16	4	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	25	75	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	75	0	25	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	50	25	25	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	25	50	25	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	75	25	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	25	75	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	50	25	25	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	75	25	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	75	25	0	0
10	Overall rating	25	75	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B Com IInd


Name - *Mr. Ramesh Patel*

programme :
Years: 2017-18

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	3	0	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	2	2	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	3	1	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	1	1	1	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	1	2	1	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	3	1	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	4	0	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4	0	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	4	0	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	4	0	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	4	0	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	4	0	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	4	0	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	4	0	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	4	0	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	3	0	1	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	4	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		56	7	4	1

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	75	0	25	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	50	50	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	75	25	0	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	25	25	25	25
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	25	50	25	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	75	25	0	0
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	100	0	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	100	0	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	100	0	0	0
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	100	0	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	100	0	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	100	0	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	100	0	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	100	0	0	0
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	100	0	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	75	0	25	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in criteria 4 teachers are advised to take extra classes.

Questionnaire No.-1
Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation


Faculty/Department -
Subject - B Com

Semester/Year - B Com IInd 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	1	1	3	1
2	Extent of coverage of course.	1	4	1	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	4	2	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2	2	2	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	2	3	1	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	1	1	3	1
7	Extent of effort required by students.	6	0	0	0
8	Overall rating.	1	3	2	0
Total		18	16	12	2

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	16.67	16.67	50.00	16.67
2	Extent of coverage of course.	16.67	66.67	16.67	0.00
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	33.33	33.33	33.33	0.00
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	16.67	16.67	50.00	16.67
7	Extent of effort required by students.	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	Overall rating.	16.67	50.00	33.33	0.00



Action Taken Report on Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter for parameter 1 and 6 department has been instructed to satisfy students query and take necessary action.

(Handwritten signature)

(Handwritten signature)
Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - N. K. Dewangan
Course - B Com IInd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3	3	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	0	6	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	2	3	1	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	2	4	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	1	4	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	1	4	1	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	2	3	1	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	2	3	1	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	1	4	1	0
10	Overall rating	0	4	2	0
Total		14	38	8	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	16.67	66.67	16.67	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	16.67	66.67	16.67	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	33.33	50.00	16.67	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	16.67	66.67	16.67	0.00
10	Overall rating	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Ramesh Patel
Course - B Com IInd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	5	1	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	3	3	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	4	2	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	3	3	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	3	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	5	1	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	4	2	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	6	0	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	6	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	4	2	0	0
Total		43	17	0	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Priyanka Jaisawal
Course - B Com IInd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2	4	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	0	6	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	1	2	2	1
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	0	4	2	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	3	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	0	6	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	3	2	1	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	4	2	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	3	3	0	0
10	Overall rating	0	5	1	0
Total		16	37	6	1

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	16.67	33.33	33.33	16.67
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	0.00	66.67	33.33	0.00
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	50.00	33.33	16.67	0.00
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	50.00	50.00	0.00	0.00
10	Overall rating	0.00	83.33	16.67	0.00



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and



Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B Com IInd


Name - *Mr. Ramesh Patel*

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	2	4	0	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	0	3	2	1
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0	3	3	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0	1	0	5
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	1	2	3	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	0	4	0	2
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	5	0	1	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	3	2	1	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	2	3	0	1
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	3	2	1	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	6	0	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	4	2	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	4	1	0	1
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	4	1	0	1
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	4	2	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	5	1	0	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	5	1	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		48	32	11	11

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	33.33	66.67	0.00	0.00
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	0.00	50.00	33.33	16.67
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	0.00	16.67	0.00	83.33
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	16.67	33.33	50.00	0.00
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	0.00	66.67	0.00	33.33
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	83.33	0.00	16.67	0.00
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	50.00	33.33	16.67	0.00
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	33.33	50.00	0.00	16.67
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	50.00	33.33	16.67	0.00
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
13	Internal assessment was.	66.67	16.67	0.00	16.67
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	66.67	16.67	0.00	16.67
15	How frequently you get response from teachers on your work.	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	83.33	16.67	0.00	0.00
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in criteria 2 faculty members are requested to explain the future fields of studying commerce. in criteria 4- teachers took extra classes to complete syllabus in other unsatisfied criteria from

Questionnaire No.-1


Sample Questionnaires for Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

Faculty Department -
Subject - B Com

Semester/Year - B Com IIIrd
2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	0	4	1	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	1	4	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	3	2	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	4	1	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	5	0	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	3	2	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	4	1	0	0
8	Overall rating.	4	1	0	0
Total		24	15	1	0

Percentage					
S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any.	0	80	20	0
2	Extent of coverage of course.	20	80	0	0
3	Applicability relevance to real life situations.	60	40	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	80	20	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material.	100	0	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	60	40	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students.	80	20	0	0
8	Overall rating.	80	20	0	0



Action Taken Report on Feedback from Students : Course Evaluation

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Principal

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - N. K. Dewangan
Course - B Com IIIrd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3	2	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	4	1	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	2	3	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	2	2	1	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	2	3	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	3	2	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	2	3	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	4	1	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	2	2	1	0
10	Overall rating	4	1	0	0
Total		28	20	2	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	60	40	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	80	20	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	40	60	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	40	40	20	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	40	60	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	60	40	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	40	60	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	80	20	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	40	40	20	0
10	Overall rating	80	20	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action.

Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher) (To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Ramesh Patel
Course - B Com IIIrd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	5	0	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	5	0	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	3	2	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	4	1	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	2	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	4	1	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	5	0	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	5	0	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	5	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	5	0	0	0
Total		44	6	0	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	100	0	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	100	0	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	100	0	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	100	0	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	100	0	0	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	100	0	0	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	100	0	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	100	0	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	100	0	0	0
10	Overall rating	100	0	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action



Questionnaire No.-2

Student Feedback on Teachers (Separate for each Teacher)

(To be submitted after the final examination results) (Tick only one of the four alternatives)


Name - Priyanka Jaisawal
Course - B Com IIIrd

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2	3	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	4	1	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	3	2	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	1	4	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	3	1	1	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	3	1	1	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	3	2	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	3	2	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	3	2	0	0
10	Overall rating	4	1	0	0
Total		29	19	2	0

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	40	60	0	0
2	Communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)	80	20	0	0
3	Sincerity/commitment of the teacher.	60	40	0	0
4	Interest generated by the teacher.	20	80	0	0
5	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective.	60	20	20	0
6	Ability to integrate content with other courses.	60	20	20	0
7	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	60	40	0	0
8	Ability to design quizzes/Tests/ assignments/examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course	60	40	0	0
9	Provision of sufficient time for feedback	60	40	0	0
10	Overall rating	80	20	0	0



Action Taken Report on Student Feedback on Teachers

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter hence no need to take action

Questionnaire No.-3
Students overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching

Course Name - B Com IIIrd


Name - *Mr. Ratnesh Patel*

programme :
Years: 2018-19

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	4	0	1	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	2	3	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	1	3	1	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	1	1	2	1
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	1	2	2	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	1	3	0	1
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	5	0	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	4	1	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	4	0	0	1
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	5	0	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	5	0	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	5	0	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	4	1	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	5	0	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	4	1	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	4	0	1	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	5	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0
Total		60	15	7	3

Percentage

S.No.	Parameters	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	The syllables of each course was.	80	0	20	0
2	Background for benefiting from the course was.	40	60	0	0
3	Was the course easy or difficult to understand.	20	60	20	0
4	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	20	20	40	20
5	What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?	20	40	40	0
6	To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?	20	60	0	20
7	How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?	100	0	0	0
8	How well was the teacher able to communicate?	80	20	0	0
9	How far does the teacher encourage student participation in the class?	80	0	0	20
10	if yes, which of the following methods were used?	100	0	0	0
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	100	0	0	0
12	The teacher's approach can best be described as.	100	0	0	0
13	Internal assessment was.	80	20	0	0
14	What will be the impact of internal assessment on your result?	100	0	0	0
15	How frequently you get response the from teachers on your work.	80	20	0	0
16	Whether teachers discuss with you on assignment?	80	0	20	0
17	Whether the Introductory lecture has been given in the beginning of the course?	100	0	0	0
18	Suggestions and remarks regarding course or teacher if any	0	0	0	0



ACTION TAKEN REPORT ON EVALUATION OF PROGRAM AND TEACHING

When feedback is analysed it is found that all students are satisfied with Evaluation of program and teaching on every given parameter in some parameters students are not satisfied so concerned faculty members are advised to take action on particular area.

Principal